

**From:** [Christina Snyder](#)  
**To:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Cc:** [James S. Luikart](#); [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Raymond E. Gadd](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [Alison G. Crumbley](#); [Allen Altman](#)  
**Subject:** Data used for the superintendents recommendation  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 17, 2018 1:39:52 PM

---

Good Afternoon Chris,

Thank you again for the quick responses on the rezoning comments for the West Side and the district's openness with the data posted on the rezoning page.

I was able to see how the growth was calculated for the upcoming growth to neighborhoods in the area ([http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/library/planning/growth\\_projections\\_west\\_side\\_schools\\_1819.xlsx](http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/library/planning/growth_projections_west_side_schools_1819.xlsx)) but what I didn't see is an update of the raw data that was provided to the boundary committee last year.

What I would like to compare is the annual projected ADM's of superintendent's proposed map with that of the "Map 11". Knowing that Starkey Ranch K-8 will be ready in 2021 and assuming a high school will be available in 2024, I thought this would be a good way to assess the future growth that has been quoted.

As always, I appreciate your assistance - I know that the district has been quoted as needing to "split the growth" and I just want to see the data supporting the uprooting of current students for that growth; especially when there are so many external factors like school choice, the new technical school, home/online school, etc.

Thank you!

V/R,  
Christina Snyder

**From:** [Elizabeth P. Kuhn](#)  
**To:** [Raymond E. Gadd](#); [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Response to your request for workshop  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 17, 2018 3:26:17 PM  
**Attachments:** [Response Letter- T Ciske.pdf](#)

---

FYI

--

Elizabeth Kuhn, Esq.  
Assistant Superintendent for Support Services  
Pasco County Schools  
20430 Gator Lane  
Land O' Lakes, FL 34638  
E-mail: [ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us)  
Tel: (813) 794-2203  
Cell: (614) 204-5259



---

**From:** Tammy <tammystormrn@hotmail.com>  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 17, 2018 at 3:23 PM  
**To:** "Kurt S. Browning" <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>  
**Cc:** "Elizabeth P. Kuhn" <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>  
**Subject:** Re: Response to your request for workshop

Dear Superintendent Browning:

I am a pediatric nurse with a critical care background. I have taken care of patients and their families through some very stressful situations, including death. The most difficult part of my job is not necessarily taking care of the children, it is taking care of the parents. Fielding questions and concerns of parents is downright exhausting. You have said yourself that you are a parent also, so you get it. And I understand that after awhile of dealing with frustrated, irate parents, you can grow tired and weary. And fed up. I get that. But cutting off communication with parents, especially those not involved in pending litigation, shouldn't be an option for such an important decision like this.

Included in your correspondence is the following: "The District's revised process utilizes a workshop to facilitate the public's access to District staff, and to make staff available to

respond to questions from the public. The workshop also provides a more informal opportunity for the public to meet with representatives of each department involved in formulating the recommendation". The current recommendation is yours, not your staff's. I realize I am pointing out the obvious, but you weren't in attendance for the workshop on March 12th, 2018. There is one person that can represent the map that has now been recommended to the Board, and that is you. Your staff can speak on behalf of their recommendation but they cannot speak on behalf of your recommendation. Which is why our community would like another workshop.

Since you have formally denied my request, would you be willing to meet with me to discuss your recommendation in person? I have some legitimate questions that only you can answer. I would appreciate the consideration.

Respectfully,

Tammy Ciske

---

**From:** Elizabeth P. Kuhn <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, April 16, 2018 7:11 PM  
**To:** tammystormrn@hotmail.com  
**Cc:** Kurt S. Browning; dalfonso@mcclainalfonso.com; Christopher G. Williams  
**Subject:** Response to your request for workshop

Good Afternoon Ms. Ciske:

Please find the attached as Superintendent Browning's response to your request for a workshop.

A paper copy will be sent via mail.

Thank you.

--

Elizabeth Kuhn, Esq.  
Assistant Superintendent for Support Services  
Pasco County Schools  
20430 Gator Lane  
Land O' Lakes, FL 34638  
E-mail: [ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us)  
Tel: (813) 794-2203

Cell: (614) 204-5259





**From:** [Elizabeth P. Kuhn](#)  
**To:** [Jeannie Dunning](#)  
**Cc:** [Kurt S. Browning](#); [dalfonso@mcclainalfonso.com](mailto:dalfonso@mcclainalfonso.com); [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Subject:** Your request for workshop  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 18, 2018 4:21:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [Response Letter J Dunning.pdf](#)

---

Good Afternoon Mrs. Dunning:

Attached is Superintendent Browning's response to your request for a workshop.

A paper copy will be sent via mail.

Thank you.

--

Elizabeth Kuhn, Esq.  
Assistant Superintendent for Support Services  
Pasco County Schools  
20430 Gator Lane  
Land O' Lakes, FL 34638  
E-mail: [ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us)  
Tel: (813) 794-2203  
Cell: (614) 204-5259



**From:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**To:** [Christina Snyder](#)  
**Cc:** [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Raymond E. Gadd](#); [Elizabeth P. Kuhn](#); [Linda Cobbe](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Data used for the superintendents recommendation  
**Date:** Thursday, April 19, 2018 9:31:05 AM  
**Attachments:** [West Side MS 1819 Map Staff 11x.pdf](#)  
[West Side HS 1819 Map Staff 11x.pdf](#)

---

Ms. Snyder,

As you requested, please find attached the Middle and High School Map 11.

As we have stated, the Starkey K-8 is on track to open in 2021. We have not decided what the next school beyond that is so at this point we do not know when a new high school will be built.

Thank you,

Chris Williams

**From:** Christina Snyder [mailto:christinasny@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 17, 2018 1:40 PM

**To:** Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>

**Cc:** James S. Luikart <jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Cynthia A. Armstrong <carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Kurt S. Browning <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Raymond E. Gadd <rgadd@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Colleen Rene Beaudoin <crbeaudoin@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Alison G. Crumbley <acrumble@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Allen Altman <alaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us>

**Subject:** Data used for the superintendents recommendation

Good Afternoon Chris,

Thank you again for the quick responses on the rezoning comments for the West Side and the district's openness with the data posted on the rezoning page.

I was able to see how the growth was calculated for the upcoming growth to neighborhoods in the area

([http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/library/planning/growth\\_projections\\_west\\_side\\_schools\\_1819.xlsx](http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/library/planning/growth_projections_west_side_schools_1819.xlsx))

but what I didn't see is an update of the raw data that was provided to the boundary committee last year.

What I would like to compare is the annual projected ADM's of superintendent's proposed map with that of the "Map 11". Knowing that Starkey Ranch K-8 will be ready in 2021 and assuming a high school will be available in 2024, I thought this would be a good way to assess the future growth that has been quoted.

As always, I appreciate your assistance - I know that the district has been quoted as needing to "split the growth" and I just want to see the data supporting the uprooting of current students for that growth; especially when there are so many external factors like school choice, the new technical school, home/online school, etc.

Thank you!

V/R,  
Christina Snyder

**From:** [Jim Stanley](#)  
**To:** [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Subject:** Formal Request for Workshop  
**Date:** Thursday, April 19, 2018 9:43:31 AM

---

Dear Superintendent Browning and Mr. Williams,

Pursuant to Florida Statute 120.54(2)(c), I, as person substantially affected by the proposed rule that you recommended to the Pasco School Board at the public hearing on April 10, formally request you to conduct a workshop on the proposed rule and to have all persons responsible for preparing the proposed rule available to explain the proposed rule and to respond to questions or comments regarding the rule.

Since the proposed rule has been substantially modified by you since the workshop that was held March 12, I believe the District must conduct a workshop on the currently proposed rule and that you must be present, in addition to district staff for the District to comply with the requirements of the above cited Statute.

Thank you for your consideration,

James J Stanley  
3632 Durrance Street  
Trinity, FL 34655  
813-210-6177

**From:** [Jeannie Dunning](#)  
**To:** [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [Alison G. Crumbley](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [Allen Altman](#); [James S. Luikart](#)  
**Cc:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Subject:** Map Proposal  
**Date:** Thursday, April 19, 2018 9:17:38 PM  
**Attachments:** [41718 Dunning Board Meeting.pdf](#)  
[West Side MS 1819 Map Staff 11x.pdf](#)  
[West Side HS 1819 Map Staff 11x.pdf](#)

---

Good Evening,

I would like to thank you for listening to my presentation Tuesday night and hope you found it informative on the changes occurring with the development in this area. I wanted to email you what I presented and believe it has figures in it to be considered and are significant in your decision making. This email hopefully serves to be objective and helpful.

In regards to the map I proposed on Tuesday (Superintendent Brownings proposal 5x, but with trading Longleaf/Ellington/Sienna Woods for Asturia), I would like to point out that it is the same map that was also devised by the boundary committee last year as one of the options and is referred to as Map 11x (attached). The even better part about this map is now we know from two board meetings ago that Starkey K-8 is on track to open and that Asturia/Starkey Ranch will be rezoned to attend it in two years from this August (opens August 2021) and we now have K Tech providing relief as well. This would make the projections on the attached map 11x for River Ridge Middle to be at 101% in ten years. The even better part about this map for Seven Springs Middle is now that Pulte Homes has pulled out of developing neighborhood 4 in Longleaf, this reduction of growth by 400+ homes from Longleaf N4 reduces the initial projection for SSMS to be much lower than the 125% in 5 years and much lower than the 129% in the year 10 projection.

With map 11x River Ridge High School would only be at 114% in five years (without removal of any students to attend K Tech). With the projected opening of the Starkey High School August 2024, this would drop the 10 year projection of 134% significantly lower by the removal of Starkey Ranch/Asturia).

With Map 11x Mitchell High would only be at 113% at the ten year projection and that was projected when Longleaf was slated to have neighborhood 4. Now that Pulte has rescinded, this 113% capacity in ten years will actually be under capacity. This 113% was without removing any students for K Tech as well.

Mitchell Ranch South, Master Plan states 90 homes, is breaking ground now directly next door to SSMS/JWMHS. This new growth is another reason we need to focus on letting the under capacity schools of PRSMS/AHS provide relief to our already overcrowded schools.

In summary, I still propose the map Superintendent Brownings Map 5x is recommending, but with the exchange of Longleaf/Sienna Woods/Ellington for Asturia. This is the most balanced map of not only numbers, but most importantly keeping the communities together that would serve no purpose being taken out of their current school. It corrects the feeder pattern of Fairway. It keeps Longleaf/Fairway together. It keeps Asturia/Starkey Ranch together, and it most importantly begins filling the under capacity schools on the west side that must be eventually filled as the growth on State Road 54 continues. I think we all can agree the 54 corridor is rapidly developing and the under-capacity schools need to be utilized to provide relief. There is absolutely not one negative about having Asturia attend River Ridge schools for two years and letting those friendships start now. In fact, that should be a priority. Let the families moving into Asturia choose to go to River Ridge schools. Let those children open Starkey K-8 together.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Jeannie Dunning

\*I have the Master plans for Asturia, Starkey Ranch and Mitchell Ranch South. Please let me know if you would like them and I will send them to you. They show the connecting roads between Asturia/Starkey Ranch without them having to go on 54 and it takes them directly to Starkey Blvd. These roads are being constructed right now. I am not talking about the Ridge Rd. extension that is on delay. This would be great for your buses as well.\*

**From:** [Jim Stanley](#)  
**To:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Cc:** [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [Alison G. Crumley](#); [Allen Altman](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [James S. Luikart](#)  
**Subject:** Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw-Out Proceeding  
**Date:** Thursday, April 19, 2018 10:05:42 PM

---

Dear Mr. Williams,

Please accept this communication as my formal proposal for lower cost regulatory alternatives and a formal request for a draw-out proceeding, which are submitted in response to a proposed Rule by the District School Board of Pasco Count ("District") to change the student attendance boundaries for Anclote High, J.W. Mitchell High, River Ridge High, Gulf High, Gulf Middle, Paul R. Smith Middle, Seven Springs Middle and River Ridge Middle Schools to be effective July 1, 2018 ("Proposed Rule").

This proposal and request are being submitted in good faith by me, an individual substantially affected by the Proposed Rule, and are being submitted subsequent to the District's Amended Notice of RuleMaking published March 30, 2018 and subsequent to the recommendation by the Superintendent of a Proposed Rule, since both of these events occurred subsequent to an earlier Submission of Proposal for LCRA's and the District's response to it.

The following constitutes my proposed changes to the Proposed Rule recommended by the Superintendent:

- A. Assign the neighborhoods of Sienna Woods, Ellington and Longleaf Neighborhoods 1, 2 and 3 to the Mitchell/Seven Springs attendance boundary
- B. Assign the neighborhood of Asturia to the River Ridge Middle and High School attendance boundary
- C. Adopt the address verification policy and process described by Mr. Gadd at the April 10 public hearing

According to the data provided by the District, Longleaf, Ellington and Sienna Woods presently have a total of 99 middle school and 132 high school students. Since these neighborhoods are effectively built out, and my proposal leaves Longleaf Neighborhoods 4&5 assigned to the River Ridge Middle and High School attendance boundary (as does the Superintendent's plan) the number of students from these neighborhoods for the next three school years (2018-2019, 2019-2020, 2020-2021) will likely remain stable. The same data show that Asturia presently has 15 middle and 11 high school students, and is projected to have 23/27 in 2018-19, 46/54 in 2019-2020 and 69/81 in 2020-21. According to the information provided by Mr. Gadd, the District expects address verification to reduce the populations of Mitchell and Seven Springs by 3%, which amounts to a reduction of 50 students at SSMS and 62 students at Mitchell. Under my proposal, the populations of Seven Springs and Mitchell would decrease in years two and three, with a net difference in year 3 of only 3 students at Seven Springs and 16 students at Mitchell. Further, Mr. Gadd stated that he turned over a file to the Property Appraiser with 3,800 addresses that may be cases of homestead exemption fraud. If homestead exemption is removed from all of these addresses, that will result in increased annual tax revenue to the County of \$190 million, of which the District would receive \$1.2 million. Therefore, my proposal effectively achieves the objectives of the District and does so at no additional cost to the District and may actually

result in an increase in revenue.

This plan has the additional benefit of maintaining feeder patterns for Longleaf/Ellington/Sienna Woods with our adjoining neighborhood of Fairway Springs, and keeping together Starkey Ranch and Asturia, which you announced on April 10 will be the only two neighborhoods served by the Starkey Ranch K-8 scheduled to open in Fall, 2021. This proposed plan is focused on the period ending with 2020-21 school year, since you also announced plans to rezone west Pasco schools prior to the opening of the K-8 school in 2021.

Additional reductions of student populations at Seven Springs and Mitchell will be achieved if the District reopens school choice to allow those students who are presently attending (or would like to attend) River Ridge Middle and High to do so, particularly if the District continues to provide transportation. This option would not increase costs, as Longleaf Neighborhoods 4 and 5 would still be in the River Ridge attendance boundary and bus service would be necessary for those neighborhoods.

Last and of particular significance, if the District adopts this plan, I and my neighbors will not pursue any additional legal challenges to the 2018-2019 boundary process, saving the District the expense of defending certain litigation.

If the District disputes any of the factual or legal assertions set forth above and elects to proceed with the Proposed Rule in lieu of these lower cost regulatory alternatives to the Proposed Rule, I respectfully request a draw-out proceeding pursuant to Section 120.54(3)(c)2, Florida Statutes to address any disputed factual or legal assertions. The workshop and public hearing for the Proposed Rule were conducted before the Superintendent's recommendation and did not provide an adequate opportunity for myself, my neighbors and other affected persons to protect their substantial interests.

I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

James J. Stanley  
3632 Durrance Street  
Trinity, FL 34655  
813-210-6177



**From:** [Jim Stanley](#)  
**To:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**Cc:** [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [Alison G. Crumley](#); [Allen Altman](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [James S. Luikart](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw-Out Proceeding  
**Date:** Friday, April 20, 2018 7:53:53 AM

---

Dear Mr. Williams,

I would like to correct an error in my email from last night and include one point that I inadvertently omitted.

The error was that I mistakenly wrote that removing homestead exemption from 3,800 properties would, "result in increased annual tax revenue to the County of \$190 million..." I meant to write it would increase the tax base (not revenue) by \$190 million, but I believe my projection for the tax revenue to the District was accurate.

The omission was that I noted the District data for Asturia includes under Future Residential Units 522 Single Family homes and the corresponding HS and MS generation rates, but there is no data for the Mutli Family field. Since over 400 apartments have been constructed there, it is reasonable to assume there will be at least some MS and HS students, so the relief to Mitchell and Seven Springs that would be gained by assigning Asturia to the River Ridge attendance boundary will be even greater.

Thank you for including this information in your analysis of my LCRA.

Regards,

Jim Stanley

On Thu, Apr 19, 2018 at 10:05 PM, Jim Stanley <[jjis1791@gmail.com](mailto:jjis1791@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Williams,

Please accept this communication as my formal proposal for lower cost regulatory alternatives and a formal request for a draw-out proceeding, which are submitted in response to a proposed Rule by the District School Board of Pasco Count ("District") to change the student attendance boundaries for Anclote High, J.W. Mitchell High, River Ridge High, Gulf High, Gulf Middle, Paul R. Smith Middle, Seven Springs Middle and River Ridge Middle Schools to be effective July 1, 2018 ("Proposed Rule").

This proposal and request are being submitted in good faith by me, an individual substantially affected by the Proposed Rule, and are being submitted subsequent to the District's Amended Notice of RuleMaking published March 30, 2018 and subsequent to the recommendation by the Superintendent of a Proposed Rule, since both of these events occurred subsequent to an earlier Submission of Proposal for LCRA's and the District's response to it.

The following constitutes my proposed changes to the Proposed Rule recommended by the Superintendent:

- A. Assign the neighborhoods of Sienna Woods, Ellington and Longleaf Neighborhoods 1, 2 and 3 to the Mitchell/Seven Springs attendance boundary
- B. Assign the neighborhood of Asturia to the River Ridge Middle and High School

attendance boundary

C. Adopt the address verification policy and process described by Mr. Gadd at the April 10 public hearing

According to the data provided by the District, Longleaf, Ellington and Sienna Woods presently have a total of 99 middle school and 132 high school students. Since these neighborhoods are effectively built out, and my proposal leaves Longleaf Neighborhoods 4&5 assigned to the River Ridge Middle and High School attendance boundary (as does the Superintendent's plan) the number of students from these neighborhoods for the next three school years (2018-2019, 2019-2020, 2020-2021) will likely remain stable. The same data show that Asturia presently has 15 middle and 11 high school students, and is projected to have 23/27 in 2018-19, 46/54 in 2019-2020 and 69/81 in 2020-21. According to the information provided by Mr. Gadd, the District expects address verification to reduce the populations of Mitchell and Seven Springs by 3%, which amounts to a reduction of 50 students at SSMS and 62 students at Mitchell. Under my proposal, the populations of Seven Springs and Mitchell would decrease in years two and three, with a net difference in year 3 of only 3 students at Seven Springs and 16 students at Mitchell. Further, Mr. Gadd stated that he turned over a file to the Property Appraiser with 3,800 addresses that may be cases of homestead exemption fraud. If homestead exemption is removed from all of these addresses, that will result in increased annual tax revenue to the County of \$190 million, of which the District would receive \$1.2 million. Therefore, my proposal effectively achieves the objectives of the District and does so at no additional cost to the District and may actually result in an increase in revenue.

This plan has the additional benefit of maintaining feeder patterns for Longleaf/Ellington/Sienna Woods with our adjoining neighborhood of Fairway Springs, and keeping together Starkey Ranch and Asturia, which you announced on April 10 will be the only two neighborhoods served by the Starkey Ranch K-8 scheduled to open in Fall, 2021. This proposed plan is focused on the period ending with 2020-21 school year, since you also announced plans to rezone west Pasco schools prior to the opening of the K-8 school in 2021.

Additional reductions of student populations at Seven Springs and Mitchell will be achieved if the District reopens school choice to allow those students who are presently attending (or would like to attend) River Ridge Middle and High to do so, particularly if the District continues to provide transportation. This option would not increase costs, as Longleaf Neighborhoods 4 and 5 would still be in the River Ridge attendance boundary and bus service would be necessary for those neighborhoods.

Last and of particular significance, if the District adopts this plan, I and my neighbors will not pursue any additional legal challenges to the 2018-2019 boundary process, saving the District the expense of defending certain litigation.

If the District disputes any of the factual or legal assertions set forth above and elects to proceed with the Proposed Rule in lieu of these lower cost regulatory alternatives to the Proposed Rule, I respectfully request a draw-out proceeding pursuant to Section 120.54(3)(c)2, Florida Statutes to address any disputed factual or legal assertions. The workshop and public hearing for the Proposed Rule were conducted before the Superintendent's recommendation and did not provide an adequate opportunity for myself, my neighbors and

other affected persons to protect their substantial interests.

I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

James J. Stanley  
3632 Durrance Street  
Trinity, FL 34655  
813-210-6177

**From:** [Carolyn Deary](#)  
**To:** [Christopher G. Williams](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [alatman@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:alatman@pasco.k12.fl.us); [James S. Luikart](#); [Raymond E. Gadd](#); [Elizabeth P. Kuhn](#); [Tammy Lee Berryhill](#); [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Vanessa Engel Hilton](#); [Monica Lynn Ilse](#); [Linda Cobbe](#); [Kevin S. Shibley](#)  
**Subject:** rezone question  
**Date:** Friday, April 20, 2018 10:05:56 AM

---

Dear Board Members,

Question regarding the chart "Projected Student Population Growth Data for West Side Schools" on the Pasco rezone page that I am hoping you can get an answer for me on:

Astoria is missing its data for SFA and MF. The chart reflects MF (multi family apartments) as zero and has the SFA (single family attached aka townhomes) as zero. However, per the MPUD it states there are a total of 1,183. So it is missing a difference of 661 (townhomes and apartments). I know from driving to the apartments they already exist. 440 of them.

I would also like a correction to be made noting the significant decrease to Longleaf home count since Pulte homes has backed out and neighborhood 4 is no longer in the works, which was approximately 450 homes.

Thank you,  
Carolyn Deary

**From:** [no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us) on behalf of [MachForm](#)  
**To:** [rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us)  
**Subject:** Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#166]  
**Date:** Saturday, April 21, 2018 1:55:09 AM

<b>Level</b>	Middle School
<b>Name</b>	Frederick Tucker
<b>Address</b>	2342 Mountian Ash Way New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
<b>Phone</b>	(520) 906-1262
<b>Email</b>	reese.tucker@outlook.com
<b>Current school</b>	Seven Springs Middle School
<b>Topics of interest</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Exceptional Student Education</li><li>- School Rating</li></ul>

#### **Comments on Exceptional Student Education**

My child is in all advanced classes at an A rated school and I don't want her moved to a C rated school. It's an injustice to her after all her hard work!!!!!!

#### **Comments on proposed map**

This rezoning is going to disrupt my child's life and my family's life. Leave our children in the school that they are used to!!! I moved here after a 26 year military career so my child could go to Seven Springs Middle School. Stop the rezoning!!!

#### **Other comments**

Stop zoning new neighborhoods like Asturia into JWMHS and SSMS and leave room for us. Do not move the area on 54 between Thys Road and Madison Road to Gulf High. They are building a 400 new homes and 600 apartments in that area. They are rezoning that area out of Anclote High School and into Gulf High School making room for our neighborhoods to go to AHS. Again, they are moving long standing neighborhoods, for new homes. There are many children who use false addresses to attend JWMHS and SSMS. The school district has been asked to do an address verification of current students, so that there is room for our kids. The school district has not done an address verification, claiming they do not have the staff. The children who have unverified false addresses will get to stay at our zoned schools while we must relocate. Fix address verification. Splitting Seven Springs Elementary School students into going to two different middle schools. Half the children will go PRSMS and the other have will go to SSMS. Instead of losing tax money from our devalued homes, put an addition on to SSMS and JWMHS, the schools were built to hold more students. However, the state lowered classroom sizes and the school's total capacity went down. The lunchroom, gym, stadium, and bathrooms were built for a higher capacity of students. Stop accepting students into JWMHS and SSMS using school choice, they are taking our students spots. We and our neighbors in other neighborhoods are ready to fight this with a lawsuit. We are speaking to lawyers to see if there are precedents to file suit. If there is, we'll see will see what happens.

**From:** [no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us) on behalf of [MachForm](#)  
**To:** [rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us](mailto:rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us)  
**Subject:** Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#167]  
**Date:** Saturday, April 21, 2018 2:20:08 AM

---

<b>Level</b>	High School
<b>Name</b>	Frederick Tucker
<b>Address</b>	2432 Mountian Ash Way New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
<b>Phone</b>	(520) 906-1262
<b>Email</b>	reese.tucker@outlook.com
<b>Current school</b>	J. W. Mitchell High School
<b>Topics of interest</b>	- Exceptional Student Education

**Comments on Exceptional Student Education**

My children are in all advanced classes at a B rated school and I don't want them moved to a C rated school. It's an injustice to them after all their hard work!!!!!!

**Comments on proposed map**

This rezoning is going to disrupt my children's lives and my family's life. Leave our children in the school that they are used to!!! I moved here after a 26 year military career so my children could attend J.W. Mitchell High School. Stop the rezoning!!!

**Other comments**

Stop zoning new neighborhoods like Asturia into JWMHS and SSMS and leave room for us. Do not move the area on 54 between Thys Road and Madison Road to Gulf High. They are building a 400 new homes and 600 apartments in that area. They are rezoning that area out of Anclote High School and into Gulf High School making room for our neighborhoods to go to AHS. Again, they are moving long standing neighborhoods, for new homes.

There are many children who use false addresses to attend JWMHS and SSMS. The school district has been asked to do an address verification of current students, so that there is room for our kids. The school district has not done an address verification, claiming they do not have the staff. The children who have unverified false addresses will get to stay at our zoned schools while we must relocate. Fix address verification. Splitting Seven Springs Elementary School students into going to two different middle schools. Half the children will go PRSMS and the other have will go to SSMS.

Instead of losing tax money from our devalued homes, put an addition on to SSMS and JWMHS, the schools were built to hold more students. However, the state lowered classroom sizes and the school's total capacity went down. The lunchroom, gym, stadium, and bathrooms were built for a higher capacity of students. Stop accepting students into JWMHS and SSMS using school choice, they are taking our students spots. We and our neighbors in other neighborhoods are ready to fight this with a lawsuit. We are speaking to lawyers to see if there are precedents to file suit. If there is, we'll see will see what happens.

---

**From:** [Christopher G. Williams](#)  
**To:** [Carolyn Deary](#); [Raymond E. Gadd](#); [Elizabeth P. Kuhn](#); [Tammy Lee Berryhill](#); [Kurt S. Browning](#); [Vanessa Engel Hilton](#); [Monica Lynn Ilse](#); [Linda Cobbe](#); [Kevin S. Shibley](#)  
**Subject:** RE: rezone question  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 8:35:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [Asturia\\_cda.pdf](#)  
[Integra\\_J\\_cda.pdf](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

Ms. Deary,

Thank you for your question. When we reviewed Asturia to put the growth spreadsheet together, this is what we determined. Asturia was originally approved for 1,183 total units (440 of which were planned to be multi-family). When they came in for their concurrency reviews (see attached) they came in for 668 single-family homes and 322 multi-family (Integra Junction) which is a total of 990. At the time we put the spreadsheet together they had built 146 single-family homes and the 322 apartments. This leaves a remainder of 522 single-family homes to be built. That would leave a remainder of 193 units not accounted for. Many times, developers don't build everything they are entitled to for a variety of reasons. At the time, we assumed they were not going to build anything additional, but that remains to be seen.

Thank you,

**Chris Williams**

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County

11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654

813-794-7978 | [www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning](http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning)

---

**From:** Carolyn Deary [mailto:carolyndeary@yahoo.com]

**Sent:** Friday, April 20, 2018 10:05 AM

**To:** Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Colleen Rene Beaudoin <crbeaudoin@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Cynthia A. Armstrong <carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us>; alatman@pasco.k12.fl.us; James S. Luikart <jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Raymond E. Gadd <rgadd@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Elizabeth P. Kuhn <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Tammy Lee Berryhill <tberryhi@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Kurt S. Browning <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Vanessa Engel Hilton <vhilton@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Monica Lynn Ilse <milse@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Linda Cobbe <lcobbe@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Kevin S. Shibley <kshibley@pasco.k12.fl.us>

**Subject:** rezone question

Dear Board Members,

Question regarding the chart "Projected Student Population Growth Data for West Side Schools" on the Pasco rezone page that I am hoping you can get an answer for me on:

Asturia is missing its data for SFA and MF. The chart reflects MF (multi family apartments) as zero and has the SFA (single family attached aka townhomes) as zero. However, per the MPUD it states there are a total of 1,183. So it is missing a difference of 661 (townhomes and apartments). I know from driving to the apartments they already exist. 440 of them.

I would also like a correction to be made noting the significant decrease to Longleaf home count since Pulte homes has backed out and neighborhood 4 is no longer in the works, which was approximately 450 homes.

Thank you,  
Carolyn Deary