

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#146]
Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:50:20 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Melanie Scharber
Address	10700 Doc Brittle St Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 642-3348
Email	melscharber@gmail.com
Current school	River Ridge Middle School
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Transportation

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a long time resident of Pasco County, I am deeply concerned about the school system here. It appears that our county is being deluged with new people, as evidenced by all the growth on the 54 corridor. However, there doesn't seem to be any long-term goals to deal with the influx of new students. I feel that a new high school definitely should be in the process of being built at this time. As well as adding on wings to already existing school structures.

Comments on Transportation

I am concerned that the bus system in Pasco county is stretched well beyond their means. According to Maureen in the transportation department, this year our son's bus route was determined to be an "open route." Meaning that our route did not have an assigned driver and they have to fill that spot daily. We have suffered with early bus arrivals (with students missing the bus), extremely late bus arrivals (with no notification to the parents), bus drivers that were speeding (according to my 6th grade son), and different bus drivers constantly this year. I hope this issue will be dealt with and corrected for next year.

Also, with all of the rezoning I hope that we will still receive a bus to RRMS. It appears that we have been rezoned to RRMS, so I assume that we will. It would be great if the students who choice into SSMS and JWMHS receive a bus as well.

Comments on proposed map

I myself am thrilled that our address is remaining at River Ridge next year. My son was rezoned to River Ridge at the beginning of his middle school career. It was an easy transition for him to go from Longleaf Elementary directly into River Ridge. Having said that, however, I am sympathetic to my fellow Longleaf Neighborhood neighbors who had their children literally ripped from their home school mid-middle school or mid-high school career. It is the children that are being affected. I got a taste of their plight when the rezone was reversed a couple months back, therefore rezoning my son to Seven Springs Middle School mid-year. I was happy to get the notice that he was able to choose to stay at RRMS for the remainder of his 6th grade year. I was also pleased when he was offered to stay at RRMS through 8th grade. I am still concerned about his high school career, but one year at a time. I hope that you will take into consideration the families that would like to stay at SSMS or JWMHS for their child's middle school or high school completion (as well as offer them transportation).

Other comments

My son Wesley is a 6th grader at RRMS. He is happy to stay at RRMS and to continue on to RRHS in the future. Great school!

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#147]
Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 9:31:59 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Bryce Phillippi
Address	1737 Overview Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Email	Redfisher22@yahoo.com
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Athletics- Extracurricular Activities- Subdivision Integrity- Transportation

Comments on proposed map

I am concerned about the school rezoning and the potential social impact on our kids relationships with their friends. We live in Oakridge and it has been proposed for our neighborhood to be divided so some of our kids will go to Mitchell and the others will go to Anclote. Our families spend time, celebrate birthdays and special occasions together. Our kids have grown up together and now we might have to tell them they are going to different schools just because our entrance is around the corner on a different road? How can you split up a neighborhood? Our kids study together and our kids that play sports together might be playing against each other? You would be dividing a neighborhood. Let alone the fact our kids will have to travel further to go to school, that just doesn't make sense.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41:00 AM

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a unique perspective into this process. Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria and are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive.

We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem.

Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

From: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams)
To: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams)
Subject: FW: rezone concerns
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 10:54:03 AM

From: Tammy <tammystormrn@hotmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 at 6:40 PM
To: Allen Altman <alaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Colleen Rene Beaudoin <crbeaudo@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Cynthia Armstrong <carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us>, James Luikart <jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Alison Crumbley <acrumble@pasco.k12.fl.us>
Subject: rezone concerns

Dear Pasco County School Board Members

I can bet over the course of the 18 months of this rezoning process, you have gotten hundreds of emails from concerned Longleaf residents. Most of them stating concerns similar, or even exactly the same, as those recently submitted comments by the west side parents. The most wide spread concern is that of kicking children of established neighborhoods out of the school they love to make room for new growth. Just nine short days ago the district held a workshop for the community. The community was invited to submit public comments. After nine days of reading these public comments, the Superintendent changes his proposal to make Longleaf the only long established neighborhood to ONCE AGAIN be rezoned.

I am a resident of Longleaf. To my knowledge, the window for public comment has not closed. All the other established neighborhoods were "saved" this go round, due to their public comments and input. Remember...this is over the course of nine days. We have been voicing our concerns for almost 2 years! Here is an excerpt from the TBT published yesterday, along with the Superintendent's new recommendation:

"The change was in response to parent comments on [submitted online] forms," district spokeswoman Linda Cobbe said.

"We bought in Oak Ridge 10 years ago, in an established neighborhood that went to SSMS and JW Mitchell High — we moved out of Holiday ON PURPOSE to have our children go to better schools," parent Kelly Rushing wrote, echoing the sentiment of many in her area. "It is completely unfair to now be kicked out of these schools to accommodate new growth in neighborhoods that are still not even finished."

This is echoed by Longleaf residents. Over and over again. This has been our issue for 18+ months, over hundreds of emails, and yet here we are, once again, conveniently carved out of the Superintendent's current proposed map. The established neighborhoods of areas 1-4 got to stay at RR after the Superintendent's recommendation went back and forth. Now, after another back and forth recommendation by the Superintendent, the west side gets to stay at SSMS/Mitchell. But Longleaf (area 12), also a long established neighborhood (with all the same issues) was rezoned once already, then rezoned back, and now facing another shift back

to RR again. Personally, in four years time, my child has been from Longleaf elementary, to SSMS, to RRMS, back to SSMS, and now faces going back to RR for high school. This has caused our family and our neighborhood so much distress, you have no idea. What will happen when Starkey Ranch K-8 opens in two years? I can literally see Starkey Ranch from my entrance to Longleaf. Will we be rezoned there when it opens?

I ask you all to put yourself in the position of someone like me. With a child that has been bounced back and forth. In other rezones, the areas that have been displaced have been "off limits" for rezone the following year. But here we are in Longleaf. The district's target once again. Please understand that our children live in an established neighborhood the same as the west side and areas 1-4. Longleaf has been going to SSMS/Mitchell since it's conception. A bus already comes through our neighborhood for all the self-contained gifted students going to SSMS regardless of how many people would get rezoned to RR. Self contained gifted students will go to SSMS regardless. Another bus comes through our neighborhood to get the riders from Fairway Springs which is literally part of our community. Moving our small amount of kids from one crowded school to another makes zero sense. This move does not achieve the ultimate goal of filling any under capacity school. Anclote was built in the wrong area. Do not punish the children of Longleaf for the planning department's mistake.

Please do not pass the Superintendent's proposed plan. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Tammy Ciske

From: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams@cymbidium80@me.com)
To: cymbidium80@me.com
Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 11:01:07 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Ms. Faiella,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question, "Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them?". Bexley is not being bused to SSMS/JWMHS. Bexley is zoned for Bexley Elementary, Rushe Middle and Sunlake High.

Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County

11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654

813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a unique perspective into this process. Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria and are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive.

We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created

overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem. Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#149]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:02:04 PM

Level	High School
Name	Tammy Ciske
Email	TammystormRN@hotmail.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Future Growth and Capacity- Planning Integrity- Subdivision Integrity- Transportation

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

In a hotel analogy, a business would not kick out current hotel guests to make room for newly arriving guests. This would make for extremely disgruntled patrons. It wouldn't fly and the business would fail. Your constituents are telling you that kicking out established students for new students is unacceptable. This is a failing process. The new growth that puts the school over capacity should be the one to move. No vacancy.

Comments on Planning Integrity

What will happen when Starkey Ranch K-8 opens in two years? I can literally see Starkey Ranch from my entrance to Longleaf. Will we be rezoned there when it opens? If you are going to successfully plan for the future and use data with projections, do ONE major rezone for the entire county. Stop doing multiple rezones every one to two years. Plan ahead. Now that the Asturia business park has broken ground, and impact fees have been raised, does that mean a new high school sooner than originally anticipated? This is something to consider.

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

Keep communities and subdivisions intact. The neighborhoods of Longleaf, Ellington, and Fairway Springs are all formed (physically) around Longleaf Elementary and are all interconnected. Yet the Superintendent's plan separates them.

Comments on Transportation

A bus already comes through our neighborhood for all the self-contained gifted students going to SSMS regardless of how many people would get rezoned to RR. Self contained gifted students will go to SSMS regardless. Another bus comes through our neighborhood to get the riders from Fairway Springs which is literally part of our community.

Comments on proposed map

Dear Pasco County School Board Members:

I can bet over the course of the 18 months of this rezoning process, you have gotten hundreds of emails from concerned Longleaf residents. Most of them stating concerns similar, or even exactly the same, as those recently submitted comments by the west side parents. The most wide spread concern is that of kicking children of established neighborhoods out of the school they love to make room for new growth. Just ten short days ago the district held a workshop for the community. The community was invited to submit public comments. After nine days of reading these public comments, the Superintendent changes his proposal to make Longleaf the only long established neighborhood to ONCE AGAIN be rezoned.

I am a resident of Longleaf. To my knowledge, the window for public comment has not closed. All the other established neighborhoods were "saved" this go round, due to their public comments and input. Remember...this is over the course of nine days. We have been voicing our concerns for almost 2 years! Here is an excerpt from the TBT published yesterday, along with the Superintendent's new recommendation:

"The change was in response to parent comments on [submitted online] forms," district spokeswoman Linda Cobbe said.

"We bought in Oak Ridge 10 years ago, in an established neighborhood that went to SSMS and JW Mitchell High — we moved out of Holiday ON PURPOSE to have our children go to better schools," parent Kelly Rushing wrote, echoing the sentiment of many in her area. "It is completely unfair to now be kicked out of these schools to accommodate new growth in neighborhoods that are still not even finished."

This is echoed by Longleaf residents. Over and over again. This has been our issue for 18+ months, over hundreds of emails, and yet here we are, once again, conveniently carved out of the Superintendent's current

proposed map. The established neighborhoods of areas 1-4 got to stay at RR after the Superintendent's recommendation went back and forth. Now, after another back and forth recommendation by the Superintendent, the west side gets to stay at SSMS/Mitchell. But Longleaf (area 12), also a long established neighborhood (with all the same issues) was rezoned once already, then rezoned back, and now facing another shift back to RR again. Personally, in four years time, my child has been from Longleaf elementary, to SSMS, to RRMS, back to SSMS, and now faces going back to RR for high school. This has caused our family and our neighborhood so much distress.

I ask you all to put yourself in the position of someone like me. With a child that has been bounced back and forth. In other rezones, the areas that have been displaced have been "off limits" for rezone the following year. But here we are in Longleaf. The district's target once again. Please understand that our children live in an established neighborhood the same as the west side and areas 1-4. Longleaf has been going to SSMS/Mitchell since it's conception. Moving our small amount of kids from one crowded school to another makes zero sense. This move does not achieve the ultimate goal of filling any under capacity school. Anclote was built in the wrong area. Do not punish the children of Longleaf for this mistake.

Other comments

Please do not pass the Superintendent's proposed plan. Thank you for your time and consideration.

From: [Christopher G. Williams](#)
To: [Heide Janshon](#)
Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#137]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:42:40 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Ms. Janshon,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto our superintendent and school board.

In response to your questions:

1. The Map 5x student data takes into account the recent Ridgewood rezoning and the reassignment of many students from Ridgewood to Gulf High. Please keep in mind that the projections continue to change as we get updated information on the number of students applying and being accepted into the new Wendell Krinn Technical High School.
2. See response to number 1 above.
3. It's not just Anclote High but all of our high schools have this case. GHS, 1228 assigned, 967 enrolled, JWMHS, 2232 assigned, 2031 enrolled. Students attend other schools for a variety of reasons, Cambridge at AHS, IB at GHS, various career academies, ESE, charter schools, eSchools, alternative schools, etc. Are you suggesting that AHS students should not be allowed to choice into IB at Gulf, Wendell Krinn, etc.?
4. See response to number 3 above.
5. In Map 1 Student Data, the current Grades 9-12 data is reflected in the top set of rows. See my response to number 3 above.
6. The Map 5x student data shows how many students are expected to be shuffled. What particularly do you believe is inaccurate about the data?

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County
11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654
813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 6:08 PM
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#137]

Level	High School
Name	Heide Janshon
Address	3205 Ohara Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 481-5315
Email	heidejanshon@gmail.com

Current school

Mitchell

Topics of interest

- Student Data to determine rezoning

Comments on proposed map

1. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the recent Ridgewood rezoning and the reassignment of those students to Gulf High School?

2. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the number of SSMS/JWMHS students who have been accepted into and will be attending Wendell Krinn as well as the Paul R. Smith/Anclote High Cambridge Programmes?

3. Please explain how Anclote High has 1515 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1156 of those students enrolled at AHS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 359 students are returned to AHS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Blvd?

4. Please explain how Paul R. Smith Middle has 1120 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1010 of those students are enrolled at PRSMS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 110 students are returned to PRSMS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Blvd?

5. In comparing the Ridgewood Rezoning Map 1 (approved) student data dated 11/7/17 and the current Westside Rezoning Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 regarding Gulf High School, the data does not line up: According to Map 1 2017-18 student data (Grades 8-11) there are 1361 students assigned to GHS but only 1108 enrolled. (Is this supposed to reflect current 9-12 graders?) Where are the 253 students attending making up the difference? According to Map 5x 2017-18 (Grades 9-12), there are 1228 students assigned to GHS but only 967 enrolled. Where are the 261 students attending making up the difference?

6. Exactly how many students are proposed to be shuffled and from where? How can the district produce accurate numbers justifying moving students to Anclote and Paul R. Smith when accurate student data can't seem to be determined.

Thanks so much in advance.

From: [Alison Faiella](#)
To: [Christopher G. Williams](#)
Subject: Re: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 1:41:04 PM

Thank you for the clarification Mr. Williams. I appreciate your response.
Alison Faiella

On Mar 29, 2018, at 11:01 AM, Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us> wrote:

Ms. Faiella,
Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question, "Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them?". Bexley is not being bussed to SSMS/JWMHS. Bexley is zoned for Bexley Elementary, Rushe Middle and Sunlake High.

Thank you,



<!--[if !vml]--><!--[endif]-->**Chris Williams**
Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County
11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654
813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [<mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us>]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41 AM
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a

unique perspective into this process.

Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive.

We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem.

Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

From: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams)
To: Haxel1612@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:19:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Ms. Goldstein,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question about ESE moving from Ridgewood to River Ridge. That is not the case. Our SSPS department continually evaluates where to put our ESE programs and where they might best serve our students. For next year we actually have ESE students being moved from River Ridge to Gulf Middle and Gulf High.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County
11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654
813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 2:39 PM
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Level	Middle School
Name	Heather Goldstein
Address	3437 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Email	Haxel1612@gmail.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a district you need to become wise to building... if new development goes in you will need to plan better. New development along 54 should be going to fill the open seats in some of the schools like Anclote and Paul R Smith. Obviously by the way you are moving our neighborhood area 12 to a school further away distance and busing is not an issue...

Therefore all new development needs to be the ones to move to the under filled schools.

That way you might be able to strike a deal with builders... if they want their new residents going to more "desirable" schools. That way the builders need to help construct these new schools. With the funds dwindling from the State to help build schools you need to get creative and find other outlets to help build the schools.

Comments on proposed map

I believe that this map that is proposed is the same as the one the United States Courts ruled unconstitutional due to being drawn up by the group that broke the law by committing the violation of the sunshine laws!! Not sure how you can now apply it again. This makes no sense moving a neighborhood as in Area 12 out of

schools that they have been zoned to since the neighborhood was founded over 14 years ago!!!! Also our neighborhood is 2 miles away from our current schools SSMS, JWMHS that I might add a Judge ruled we should still be going to!!!

Another very important fact is this map does not aid in filling the open seats at Anclote or Paul R Smith. But it does move our Area 12 from one over crowded school to another.

Also with the closing of Ridgewood where will the ESE population be moved to??? River Ridge I bet which will even over crowd the school even more!!! You really need to look at all planning before making a redone like this.

Also consider this in 2 years when Starkey k-8 opens up Longleaf will be moved again. This is why we need to leave established neighbors where they are until a new school is built. Moving from one over crowded school to another makes no sense to anyone i have talked with at my current school site or friends that are politicians and business executives so I have no idea why it makes sense to you Kurt Browning or the Board!

Other comments

I am sick over this whole process along with my whole neighborhood of Longleaf and Ellenton Way Area 12. Please reconsider this new proposed map. Move the new growth to Anclote and Paul R Smith. Consider what a court of law has also said, that this current map is unconstitutional bc it was conceived from the group that violated the sunshine laws!!!

From: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams)
To: wsmith42@gmail.com
Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#145]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:25:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Mr. Smith,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto our superintendent and school board.

I wanted to respond to your comment about Asturia going to Sunlake. Sunlake is currently at capacity and is receiving all of the new growth that is being constructed along the SR 54 corridor between the Suncoast Parkway and US 41 and so Sunlake is unfortunately not an option for us to rezone students into at this time.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County
11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654
813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:05 PM
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#145]

Level	High School
Name	Bill Smith
Address	10330 Palladio Dr Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 487-3339
Email	wsmith42@gmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Comments on proposed map

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new

home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Other comments

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Astoria should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

From: [Christopher G. Williams](#)
To: [Heather Axel](#)
Subject: RE: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]
Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 5:54:28 PM

I just confirmed that the EBD classes from Ridgewood will be going to RRHS. I understand about the EBD classrooms but I do not know how the new units coming in impact the classrooms in relation to the outgoing units.

Chris Williams

From: Heather Axel [mailto:haxel1612@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:56 PM
To: Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>
Subject: Re: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Thank you for getting back with me.

So with moving current ESE populations from RRHS and RRMS to Gulf middle and High will free up a small bit of space but will they be replaced with ESE (EBD) classes from Ridgewood? Or are they going to be displacing them to Hudson or Fivay?

This is a big concern for me. EBD is not just any ESE classrooms, I have taught those kinds of classrooms for several years and they are not getting better in my opinion.

I appreciate your feedback,
Heather

On Thu, Mar 29, 2018, 2:19 PM Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us> wrote:

Ms. Goldstein,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question about ESE moving from Ridgewood to River Ridge. That is not the case. Our SSPS department continually evaluates where to put our ESE programs and where they might best serve our students. For next year we actually have ESE students being moved from River Ridge to Gulf Middle and Gulf High.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County

11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654

813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 2:39 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Level	Middle School
Name	Heather Goldstein
Address	3437 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Email	Haxel1612@gmail.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a district you need to become wise to building... if new development goes in you will need to plan better. New development along 54 should be going to fill the open seats in some of the schools like Anclote and Paul R Smith. Obviously by the way you are moving our neighborhood area 12 to a school further away distance and busing is not an issue...

Therefore all new development needs to be the ones to move to the under filled schools.

That way you might be able to strike a deal with builders... if they want their new residents going to more "desirable" schools. That way the builders need to help construct these new schools. With the funds dwindling from the State to help build schools you need to get creative and find other outlets to help build the schools.

Comments on proposed map

I believe that this map that is proposed is the same as the one the United States Courts ruled unconstitutional due to being drawn up by the group that broke the law by committing the violation of the sunshine laws!! Not sure how you can now apply it again. This makes no sense moving a neighborhood as in Area 12 out of schools that they have been zoned to since the neighborhood was founded over 14 years ago!!!! Also our neighborhood is 2 miles away from our current schools SSMS, JWMHS that I might add a Judge ruled we should still be going to!!!

Another very important fact is this map does not aid in filling the open seats at Anclote or Paul R Smith. But it does move our Area 12 from one over crowded school to another.

Also with the closing of Ridgewood where will the ESE population be moved to??? River Ridge I bet which will even over crowd the school even more!!! You really need to look at all planning before making a redone like this.

Also consider this in 2 years when Starkey k-8 opens up Longleaf will be moved again. This is why we need to leave established neighbors where they are until a new school is built. Moving from one over crowded school to another makes no sense to anyone I have talked with at my current school site or friends that are politicians and business executives so I have no idea why it makes sense to you Kurt Browning or the Board!

Other comments

I am sick over this whole process along with my whole neighborhood of Longleaf and Ellenton Way Area 12.

Please reconsider this new proposed map. Move the new growth to Anclote and Paul R Smith. Consider what a court of law has also said, that this current map is unconstitutional bc it was conceived from the group that violated the sunshine laws!!!

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#150]
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 9:22:21 AM

Level	High School
Name	Rodney Wilcox
Address	615 Japonica Ct. New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 203-3106
Email	wolfemanic@yahoo.com
Current school	Mitchell High
Topics of interest	- Proposed Boundries

Comments on proposed map

I find it extremely frustrating that the designated school boundaries are in jeopardy again. I purchased a home here in Magnolia Estates in 2006 investing my life savings. Like many I endured the loss of equity value from the greedy wreck-less economic crash of 2008. Now after ten years I finally see the value of my home returning only to be jeopardized again by this new proposed map resulting from neglect regarding expansion planning. We Americans are more then ever aware that the omnipotent State can force people to suffer anything it pleases, and we will verify our part of that experience at the voting booth.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#151]
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 2:29:40 PM

Level	High School
Name	David Cates
Email	dmcates@hotmail.com
Current school	River Ridge Middle-High

Comments on proposed map

My children attend school at the River Ridge complex and are not affected by Superintendent Browning's recommended 2018-19 rezoning proposal.

However, I do have a few questions.

1) My concern with this plan is it is shifting a significant chunk of future growth expected along SR 54 to the River Ridge complex until Starkey Ranch K-8 and the JWMHS-RRHS relief high school can be built. From what I understand from reading the staff recommendation maps, the Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge complexes will remain over capacity for the foreseeable future, but Anclote/Paul R. Smith and the Gulf schools will be at or below capacity during this time frame. How will the School District manage the overcapacity situations at Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge MS-HS until Starkey K-8 and the high school are built?

2) Once Starkey K-8 opens around August 2021, and let's say the former Super Target building in Odessa is still vacant at that time, would it be possible to lease that property on a short-term basis for instructional space for high school students in that area until the relief high school is open?

3) Would the School District consider requiring double sessions for Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge MS-HS until Starkey K-8 and the relief high school are built?

4) Can the relief high school be constructed in phases as the area grows, assuming the School District has already obtained the necessary land where the high school will be built?

For example -

Phase 1: permanent administration, gym, cafeteria, etc. buildings, with all instructional and non-essential space housed in portables (permanent structures having enough space to accommodate all people at the site during an emergency such as severe weather watch/warning)

Phase 2: construction of permanent classroom buildings

Phase 3: removal of portables and converting the land to intended future use, such as parking lots, athletic fields, etc.

This was done at Largo High School in Pinellas County during 2014-16, while the existing 50+-year-old buildings there were mostly demolished and replacement LHS was built. This procedure did not require double sessions nor temporary rezoning, but did result in the school being an active construction site during this time.

4) If above is not an option, will the School District consider adding additional leased portables at JWMHS/SSMS and RRMS-HS?

Thank you for your time, and I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,
David Cates
dmcates@hotmail.com

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#152]
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 2:54:16 PM

Level	High School
Name	CAROLYN DEARY
Address	10500 MARSHA DR NEW PORT RICHEY, PASCO 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 430-3002
Email	carolyndeary@yahoo.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	- Extracurricular Activities - BAND

Comments on Extracurricular Activities
PLEASE DO NOT REZONE US!!!!!!
My boys have dreamed of being a part of the Mitchell HS Marching band since starting 6th grade at SSMS. If they get rezoned, they don't even want to participate in band anymore, and that would kill me!!!
Please leave LONGLEAF alone!!!!!!!!!!!!

Comments on proposed map
PLEASE DO NOT REZONE US!!!!!!

Please leave LONGLEAF alone!!!!!!!!!!!!

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#153]
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 4:05:50 PM

Level	High School
Name	Judy Rembiesa
Address	2025 Mountain Ash Way New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 236-3224
Email	rrembies@tampabay.rr.com
Current school	Mitchell High School

Comments on proposed map

I live in Magnolia Estates, I have since 2004. I bought here because of the location and the schools. I have had a Real Estate license for over 30 years and know the value of the schools. My children were raised in Pasco County Schools since 1985. I have 2 children teaching in your schools and 7 grandchildren attending them now. I understand the enormous job that the professionals encounter daily. Children are not merely numbers you can plug in to fill your empty seats. The impact to them and their families is enormous. I am not in favor of any plan that moves this neighborhood's children to any school other than Mitchell Middle and High. School Choice can be a nice addition for school population but it should not displace those already going there. Under performing schools are indeed a problem. The reality is that all of your schools are sadly, not equal. That is what needs to be fixed. That's where the energy needs to be spent and the dollars.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#154]
Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 4:19:06 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	STEPHEN RADLE
Address	10141 mizner st New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 858-5940
Email	mradle@hotmail.com
Current school	SEVEN SPRINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

Hello,

Please reconsider moving Longleaf to RRMS. We have lived in Longleaf for 15 years. Our community involvement revolves around SSMS and JWMHS, Mitchell Ranch plaza and Generations Church. Our middle school son often walks from SSMS to the YMCA after school so he is not home alone in the afternoons. This has been a blessing to our family. Our home is only 2 miles from JWMHS but 9 miles from RRHS! This is a big difference in time and we would prefer the least amount of time possible on a school bus. The longer on a bus - the greater chance of an accident. It has nothing to do with RRHS it is purely logistics and our sense of community. We are an established neighborhood that has always been zoned for SSMS and JWHS and it seems for the small number of students being moved but the huge impact on those few families it isn't worth it. Please reconsider and keep Longleaf zoned for SSMS and JWMHS. Our middle school son is also very active in lacrosse and RRMS/HS does not have a team. He is playing lacrosse for the Jaguars.

Thank you for your consideration

From: [Christopher G. Williams](mailto:Christopher.G.Williams)
To: dmcates@hotmail.com
Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#151]
Date: Monday, April 2, 2018 9:35:05 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Mr. Cates,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

In response to your questions:

1. We work to manage growth as it comes along the entire SR 54/SR 56 corridor. We evaluate and use many strategies to deal with growth and overcrowded conditions at various schools. These strategies include rezoning, additional portables, classroom wings, magnet programs, and the construction of new schools. We intend to open the Starkey K-8 in 2021.
2. It is possible. We have looked at the Target building however at this time we have found that option to be cost prohibitive. We will continue to evaluate this option as well as future big box stores that may become available and compare this to the cost of construction of new schools.
3. True double-sessions or the hybrid version that we call 10-period day, come with its own set of challenges. It is challenging for the school since it requires additional allocations and puts a strain on the facilities (think 2 separate food-service times, cleaning of the school, etc). It is also challenging for students and parents. Earlier or later start and end times, scheduling of extracurricular activities, etc. This is another option we have in addition to the options mentioned above.
4. Phasing a new school may be feasible but that option tends to be expensive. The longer you keep a construction crew onsite the more money it is costing you. In addition, you have the cost of moving a considerable number of portables on and off campus. What we have done in recent history is to build the bulk of a school with the option to build an additional wing in the future.
5. Again, this is one of many options that we consider.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County
11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654
813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 2:30 PM
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#151]

Name	David Cates
Email	dmcates@hotmail.com
Current school	River Ridge Middle-High

Comments on proposed map

My children attend school at the River Ridge complex and are not affected by Superintendent Browning's recommended 2018-19 rezoning proposal.

However, I do have a few questions.

1) My concern with this plan is it is shifting a significant chunk of future growth expected along SR 54 to the River Ridge complex until Starkey Ranch K-8 and the JWMHS-RRHS relief high school can be built. From what I understand from reading the staff recommendation maps, the Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge complexes will remain over capacity for the foreseeable future, but Anclote/Paul R. Smith and the Gulf schools will be at or below capacity during this time frame.

How will the School District manage the overcapacity situations at Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge MS-HS until Starkey K-8 and the high school are built?

2) Once Starkey K-8 opens around August 2021, and let's say the former Super Target building in Odessa is still vacant at that time, would it be possible to lease that property on a short-term basis for instructional space for high school students in that area until the relief high school is open?

3) Would the School District consider requiring double sessions for Mitchell/Seven Springs and River Ridge MS-HS until Starkey K-8 and the relief high school are built?

4) Can the relief high school be constructed in phases as the area grows, assuming the School District has already obtained the necessary land where the high school will be built?

For example -

Phase 1: permanent administration, gym, cafeteria, etc. buildings, with all instructional and non-essential space housed in portables (permanent structures having enough space to accommodate all people at the site during an emergency such as severe weather watch/warning)

Phase 2: construction of permanent classroom buildings

Phase 3: removal of portables and converting the land to intended future use, such as parking lots, athletic fields, etc.

This was done at Largo High School in Pinellas County during 2014-16, while the existing 50+-year-old buildings there were mostly demolished and replacement LHS was built. This procedure did not require double sessions nor temporary rezoning, but did result in the school being an active construction site during this time.

4) If above is not an option, will the School District consider adding additional leased portables at JWMHS/SSMS and RRMS-HS?

Thank you for your time, and I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,
David Cates
dmcates@hotmail.com

From: [Jeannie Dunning](#)
To: [Cynthia A. Armstrong](#); [Allen Altman](#); [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#); [Alison G. Crumbley](#); [James S. Luikart](#)
Cc: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us; [Christopher G. Williams](#); [Zachary A. Goodfield](#)
Subject: Input/Questions
Date: Monday, April 2, 2018 2:50:52 PM

School Board Members,

Good day, I hope you all had a wonderful Easter break. I know the hearing pertaining to the rezoning is getting closer and I feel that you as the board should continue to be informed by the thoughts of the community you serve. I do not feel the various proposed maps Kurt Browning has been recently devising are in the best interest of the children and the community. Please read my email until the end and try to view it from our point of view if possible. I do feel you as a board need to weigh in and speak up and share your thoughts/concerns as well and not just approve any/all maps Browning hands down. This is a huge decision that does not need to be continued to be decided by just one individual. I am a mother of 4 (three still at home). Two are sophomores at JWMHS and my youngest son, Liam, is a second grader at LLES.

1. Area 12 (Longleaf/Ellington) has never attended the River Ridge schools (besides the part of this year that was overturned by the judge) - it has recently come to our attention that one board member thought we had been zoned for these schools and this is not the case.
2. The first house in Area 12 is 1.9 miles away from SSMS/JWMHS, which normally would indicate no bus service because the distance is too close.
3. Teenagers need sleep. There is a drastic difference in start times between the two schools. My two sophomores at Mitchell High currently ride the bus and it comes at 8:00 out front of our home for their 8:30 school start time. River Ridge high is an early school starting at 7:25. This bus comes very early at 6:39 because of our distance to River Ridge. It is still dark out when this bus comes. I have one child starting to drive and I do not prefer him driving in the dark without adequate sleep either. Please put yourselves in our shoes and ask yourself if you would want your own teenager having to wake at 5:45 am (to allocate one hour to get ready/eat) and leave by 6:45 to drive to school when they could go to a school only seven minutes away at Mitchell. Mind you this shift of our children to RR is so we can move from one overcrowded school to another overcrowded school.
4. My next point is going to seem minuscule, but it is the reality of our lives in our home. Braces. We have two teenagers in braces that go to the orthodontist every four weeks, when a bracket comes off, when a wire comes out, etc...I am honestly there 1x/week between the two of them. I pick them up from Mitchell, go next door to Dr. Graff get them seen and return them back to school all within sometimes 30-45 minutes max. This location allows them to only miss 1 period of instruction time. If they were at RR schools, this would mean much more time in the car and they would miss half a day not to mention the amount of time from work I would have to miss.
5. Before/After Care. The YMCA is a major assistance to the families in our neighborhood and the rest of SSMS families. As you know, it provides families with the opportunity to have their child covered while they go to work before and after school and also provides transportation to and from. Our YMCA absolutely will not grant transportation to River Ridge schools. This is a huge problem and impact in our community. Please consider this important factor as well.
6. Boundaries- There are large amounts of land (probably 15,000+ acres) dividing us between the neighborhoods currently zoned for RR and Starkey Blvd.
7. Lawsuits. Kurt Browning has been made aware that the district could save money in lawsuits (not only current, but future) by agreeing to keep area 12 at SSMS/Mitchell and the residents of area 12 would not litigate in the future. These lawsuits are able to not only be against the district, but also personally. If you have knowledge that you could put more money in the schools' pockets and save our tax dollars, then why not choose that option? Why not choose the peaceful less expensive route when talking about only 95 (area 12 total- much less than at RR) high school kids?

8. Home value. I am a realtor and my farm area is area 12. I sell a lot in this here. Yes, our home values have dropped for the larger family homes. Yes, I have clients constantly telling me they want me to find them a home specifically in the SSMS/MHS school zones. I have these statements in emails and saved texts. I had a listing that sat and then the day the judge voided the boundaries and moved us back to our schools (SSMS/MHS) I had two written offers the same night (and in my saved emails they stated it was specifically because the schools changed back to SSMS/MHS). The next day Kurt Browning announced this would not be a guarantee. After that announcement, both offers were rescinded (in another documented email because of the schools switching back to RR).

9. Broken Feeder pattern. Why is Fairway Springs the only area breaking feeder pattern? Please answer this as nobody else ever has after repeated emails asking this. More information that this map has not been considered seriously and is a division of community. These poor children would go to LLES for six years and then to SSMS not knowing anyone other than the small handful in little Fairway Springs also designated as area 13. Why was this small area given an area number? They need Longleaf/Ellington to be connected with them. If you look at us on an arial map view you can tell there is no boundary between Fairway, Ellington and Longleaf. We should have all been called area 12. We are confused as to why Fairway was pulled off and given a different area number. We all walk to Longleaf Elementary School together, wait at the gate to drop off and pick up our children together and watch our children play together in our community. Can someone please tell me why they were given a different area number and segregated?

Again, I please urge you to put yourselves in our shoes as parents and decide what you think would be best for SSMS/MHS, PRSMS/AHS, and RR schools and most importantly the children of area 12 that continue to live (for two years now) with the constant uncertainty as to where they will go to school. This has been emotionally draining our children and families.

Thank you,
Jeannie Dunning

From: [Colleen Rene Beaudoin](#)
To: [Jeannie Dunning](#)
Subject: Re: Input/Questions
Date: Monday, April 2, 2018 3:14:20 PM

Jeannie,

I would like to clarify something. People are telling you untruths if they said I did not know which neighborhoods were zoned for where. I think I know who shared that with you. I was trying to figure out if the person had gone to River Ridge this year or stayed, using school choice, at SSMS.

As for your other thoughts. I have read everything and am considering all options.

Thank you for reaching out with your thoughts and concerns.

Colleen

Colleen R. Beaudoin
Pasco County School Board Member, District 2

From: Jeannie Dunning <dunninghome@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 2, 2018 2:50:44 PM
To: Cynthia A. Armstrong; Allen Altman; Colleen Rene Beaudoin; Alison G. Crumbley; James S. Luikart
Cc: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us; Christopher G. Williams; Zachary A. Goodfield
Subject: Input/Questions

School Board Members,

Good day, I hope you all had a wonderful Easter break. I know the hearing pertaining to the rezoning is getting closer and I feel that you as the board should continue to be informed by the thoughts of the community you serve. I do not feel the various proposed maps Kurt Browning has been recently devising are in the best interest of the children and the community. Please read my email until the end and try to view it from our point of view if possible. I do feel you as a board need to weigh in and speak up and share your thoughts/concerns as well and not just approve any/all maps Browning hands down. This is a huge decision that does not need to be continued to be decided by just one individual. I am a mother of 4 (three still at home). Two are sophomores at JWMHS and my youngest son, Liam, is a second grader at LLES.

1. Area 12 (Longleaf/Ellington) has never attended the River Ridge schools (besides the part of this year that was overturned by the judge) - it has recently come to our attention that one board member thought we had been zoned for these schools and this is not the case.

2. The first house in Area 12 is 1.9 miles away from SSMS/JWMHS, which normally would indicate no bus service because the distance is too close.

3. Teenagers need sleep. There is a drastic difference in start times between the two schools. My two sophomores at Mitchell High currently ride the bus and it comes at 8:00 out front of our home for their 8:30 school start time. River Ridge high is an early school starting at 7:25. This bus comes very early at 6:39 because of our distance to River Ridge. It is still dark out when this bus comes. I have one child starting to drive and I do not prefer him driving in the dark without adequate sleep either. Please put yourselves in our shoes and ask yourself if you would want your own teenager having to wake at 5:45 am (to allocate one hour to get ready/eat) and leave by 6:45 to drive to school when they could go to a school only seven minutes away at Mitchell. Mind you this shift of our children to RR is so we can move from one overcrowded school to another overcrowded school.

4. My next point is going to seem minuscule, but it is the reality of our lives in our home. Braces. We have two teenagers in braces that go the orthodontist every four weeks, when a bracket comes off, when a wire comes out, etc...I am honestly there 1x/week between the two of them. I pick them up from Mitchell, go next door to Dr. Graff get them seen and return them back to school all within sometimes 30-45 minutes max. This location allow them to only miss 1 period of instruction time. If they were at RR schools, this would mean much more time in the car and they would miss half a day not to mention the amount of time from work I would have to miss.

5. Before/After Care. The YMCA is a major assistance to the families in our neighborhood and the rest of SSMS families. As you know, it provides families with the opportunity to have their child covered while they go to work before and after school and also provides transportation to and from. Our YMCA absolutely will not grant transportation to River Ridge schools. This is a huge problem and impact in our community. Please consider this important factor as well.

6. Boundaries- There are large amounts of land (probably 15,000+ acres) dividing us between the neighborhoods currently zoned for RR and Starkey Blvd.

7. Lawsuits. Kurt Browning has been made aware that the district could save money in lawsuits (not only current, but future) by agreeing to keep area 12 at SSMS/Mitchell and the residents of area 12 would not litigate in the future. These lawsuits are able to not only be against the district, but also personally. If you have knowledge that you could put more money in the schools pockets and save our tax dollars, then why not choose that option? Why not choose the peaceful less expensive route when talking about only 95(area 12 total-much less that at RR) high school kids?

8. Home value. I am a realtor and my farm area is area 12. I sell a lot in this here. Yes, our home values have dropped for the larger family homes. Yes, I have clients constantly telling me they want me to find them a home specifically in the SSMS/MHS school zones. I have these statements in emails and saved texts. I had a listing that sat and then the day the judge voided the boundaries and moved us back to our schools (SSMS/MHS) I had two written offers the same night (and in my saved emails they stated it was specifically because the schools changed back to SSMS/MHS). The next day Kurt Browning announced this would not be a guarantee. After that announcement, both offers were rescinded (in another documented email because of the schools switching back to RR).

9. Broken Feeder pattern. Why is Fairway Springs the only area breaking feeder pattern? Please answer this as nobody else ever has after repeated emails asking this. More information that this map has not been considered seriously and is a division of community. These poor children would go to LLES for six years and then to SSMS not knowing anyone other than the small handful in little Fairway Springs also designated as area 13. Why was this small area given an area number? They need Longleaf/Ellington to be connected with them. If you look at us on an arial map view you can tell there is no boundary between Fairway, Ellington and Longleaf. We should have all been called area 12. We are confused as to why Fairway was pulled off and given a different area number. We all walk to Longleaf Elementary School together, wait at the gate to drop off and pick up our children together and watch our children play together in our community. Can someone please tell

me why they were given a different area number and segregated?

Again, I please urge you to put yourselves in our shoes as parents and decide what you think would be best for SSMS/MHS, PRSMS/AHS, and RR schools and most importantly the children of area 12 that continue to live (for two years now) with the constant uncertainty as to where they will go to school. This has been emotionally draining our children and families.

Thank you,
Jeannie Dunning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of [MachForm](#)
To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#155]
Date: Monday, April 2, 2018 3:44:15 PM

Level	High School
Name	Laura McCaffrey
Address	10649 Marsha Drive Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 777-2637
Email	lmccaffrey1@tampabay.rr.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle School
Topics of interest	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Athletics- Future Growth and Capacity- Planning Integrity- Subdivision Integrity- Transportation

Comments on Athletics

My daughter has been involved in athletics the past two years. I am the only driver in my family and work within 2 miles of the school so can easily pick her up by 5. However, if the rezone were to occur she would be getting released from school/after school activities an hour earlier and 9 miles from my job. I would have no way to pick her up and would not have any friends/established relationships to rely on at a brand new school. In addition these children now have to find a way to become teammates with those that have been their rivals the past two years and adhere to a new mascot. Not to mention the cost of new tshirts, hoodies, PE clothes, etc.

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

These kids have already been living with the turmoil of this rezone for two years and little was accomplished with the last rezone yet we are proposing the exact same map, again excluding the west side which would actually be sent to schools under capacity. You are proposing sending our children from a slightly overcrowded school to a school that will be even more crowded than their original in just a couple of short years. All for what? Why do Paul R Smith, Anclote, and Gulf get to remain under capacity? I would like an explanation of why the west side was eliminated from the "more expansive" rezone yet again? I think we deserve this information.

Comments on Planning Integrity

Specific criteria was established and to be followed yet is being overlooked. This is a knee jerk reaction following an expensive law suit and whether it is truth or not perception is that the lack of change and adequate time to take a look at numbers, addresses, maps, etc. is simply vindictive and a "I'll show you mentality." Does anyone recall that many teens in our community (already in emotional and physical turmoil due to being teens) are in turmoil over this situation. You can explain that change is necessary and inevitable when there are facts to support it but I am struggling to find that data.

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

No one has been able to give an explanation on why Fairway Springs was "spared." Our neighborhoods (Longleaf and Fairway Springs) are connected and these kids walk to elementary school together. Kids from Fairway come into our neighborhood to use the parks and play with their friends. Does this come down to funding? Honesty and transparency in this rezone would go a long way.

Comments on Transportation

Please see my comments from athletics. I am the only driver in my family and have two children in athletics and work a full time job. I will be unable to pick my child up from after school activities timely so my only choice would be to pull her from athletics during her high school years. Also, due to the excessive distance the kids will be getting picked up via bus in the dark before 7 a.m. Many adults alarms don't go off in the 5 o'clock hour but we expect that of teens that are supposed to perform well in academics and athletics on this amount of sleep. In addition we are having teen drivers and buses making a left hand turn onto Starkey Blvd. Considering the fog was so thick at 8 a.m. this morning I had to be careful turning right onto this road that concerns me.

Comments on proposed map

This is the exact same map that was proposed originally when the Superintendent stated that it did not meet the original needs and that this rezone would be more expansive. Why? Moving three neighborhoods and not

filling any of the under capacity schools on the west side makes no logical sense unless there are other plans that are not being communicated. Again transparency and honesty would be extremely helpful to those of us involved.

Other comments

This is a knee jerk reaction. No leader should go unchallenged.
