From: <u>Jim Stanley</u>

To: <u>Christopher G. Williams</u>; <u>rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>

Cc: Kurt S. Browning; Linda Cobbe

Subject: Fwd: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance Boundary Modifications

Date: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 5:02:12 PM

Mr. Williams

In light of the Superintendent's announcement today that he is recommending that school choice be reset (contrary to his previous public statements saying he would not do so) will you be revising the maps to show the effect of resetting choice? And if so, please provide the data that you use to make those adjustments.

And if you do not intend to revise the maps, why not?

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Jim Stanley** <<u>jjs1791@gmail.com</u>> Date: Wed, Mar 14, 2018 at 2:27 PM

Subject: Fwd: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications

To: "Christopher G. Williams" < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>, rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Cc: <u>ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>, Linda Cobbe < <u>lcobbe@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>>

Dear Mr. Williams,

I renew my request that was made in an email to you on February 28 for the information in question 3 (below). When I asked you to explain discrepancies in numbers and projections from the information you referred me to (i.e. the packets provided to the Boundary Committee in 2016) and the current ADM numbers and current projections, your response was essentially to explain that "things change."

Since your proposal assumes certain numbers of students living in the areas you have proposed be reassigned, I must respectfully insist that you provide the data you are using in your assumptions for the specific numbers of students for each grade level living in the neighborhoods you propose to move, broken down by the neighborhoods you propose to move. This should be information readily available to you so I expect you will provide this information today.

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

----- Forwarded message ------

From: **Jim Stanley** <<u>jjs1791@gmail.com</u>> Date: Wed, Feb 28, 2018 at 11:50 AM

Subject: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Dear Mr. Williams

With respect to the proposed attendance boundary changes for West Pasco Schools, I have the following questions:

- 1. The Permanent Capacity for Anclote High School is represented to be 1,651 at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,684. What specifically changed at Anclote to reduce the capacity of that school by 33 students?
- 2. The Permanent Capacity for Mitchell High School is represented to be 1,925 at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,853. What specifically changed at Mitchell to increase the capacity of that school by 72 students?
- 3. Neither the maps nor the tables provide any (i) numbers of students in each neighborhood that are proposed to be moved (ii) breakdown by grade of the numbers of students by neighborhood proposed to be moved (iii) the numbers of students enrolled in magnet programs for the affected schools (iv) the numbers of students who choiced in or out of each attendance zone (v) projected growth numbers for each of the foregoing. Please provide this information.
- 4. What is the source of (i) the numbers of existing students within an attendance boundary; (ii) the projected growth of students within an attendance boundary?

Thank you for your prompt attention to this inquiry.

Sincerely,

Jim Stanley 3632 Durrance Street Trinity, FL 34655 From: <u>Linda Cobbe</u>

To: <u>Jim Stanley; Christopher G. Williams; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>

Cc: <u>Kurt S. Browning</u>

Subject: RE: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance Boundary Modifications

Date: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 6:11:30 PM

Mr. Stanley – The superintendent has not made his recommendation yet. He met with the reporter yesterday, and then talked with choice staff, but he hasn't had a chance to discuss this matter with anyone else. There are many things to consider, including the input from the community, before a final recommendation will be made to the school board.

Linda E. Cobbe
Public Information Officer
Pasco County Schools
20425 Gator Lane, Building 8
Land O'Lakes, FL 34638
813-794-2717 (O)
813-361-8349 (C)
www.pascoschools.org

Twitter: @cobbelinda / @pascoschools

Facebook: pascoschools



All email to and from Pasco County Schools employees is public record and subject to review by media and anyone who requests access under Florida's Public Records law (Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, Government in the Sunshine). Only emails that contain confidential student information protected by FERPA may be considered exempt from public records.

From: Jim Stanley [mailto:jjs1791@gmail.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, March 14, 2018 5:02 PM

To: Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us **Cc:** Kurt S. Browning <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Linda Cobbe <lcobbe@pasco.k12.fl.us> **Subject:** Fwd: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications

Mr. Williams

In light of the Superintendent's announcement today that he is recommending that school choice be reset (contrary to his previous public statements saying he would not do so) will you be revising the maps to show the effect of resetting choice? And if so, please provide the data that you use to make those adjustments.

And if you do not intend to revise the maps, why not?

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jim Stanley** <<u>jjs1791@gmail.com</u>> Date: Wed, Mar 14, 2018 at 2:27 PM

Subject: Fwd: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications

To: "Christopher G. Williams" < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>, rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Cc: <u>ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>, Linda Cobbe < <u>lcobbe@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>>

Dear Mr. Williams,

I renew my request that was made in an email to you on February 28 for the information in question 3 (below). When I asked you to explain discrepancies in numbers and projections from the information you referred me to (i.e. the packets provided to the Boundary Committee in 2016) and the current ADM numbers and current projections, your response was essentially to explain that "things change."

Since your proposal assumes certain numbers of students living in the areas you have proposed be reassigned, I must respectfully insist that you provide the data you are using in your assumptions for the specific numbers of students for each grade level living in the neighborhoods you propose to move, broken down by the neighborhoods you propose to move. This should be information readily available to you so I expect you will provide this information today.

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jim Stanley** <<u>jjs1791@gmail.com</u>> Date: Wed, Feb 28, 2018 at 11:50 AM

Subject: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Dear Mr. Williams

With respect to the proposed attendance boundary changes for West Pasco Schools, I have the following questions:

1. The Permanent Capacity for Anclote High School is represented to be 1,651

- at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,684. What specifically changed at Anclote to reduce the capacity of that school by 33 students?
- 2. The Permanent Capacity for Mitchell High School is represented to be 1,925 at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,853. What specifically changed at Mitchell to increase the capacity of that school by 72 students?
- 3. Neither the maps nor the tables provide any (i) numbers of students in each neighborhood that are proposed to be moved (ii) breakdown by grade of the numbers of students by neighborhood proposed to be moved (iii) the numbers of students enrolled in magnet programs for the affected schools (iv) the numbers of students who choiced in or out of each attendance zone (v) projected growth numbers for each of the foregoing. Please provide this information.
- 4. What is the source of (i) the numbers of existing students within an attendance boundary; (ii) the projected growth of students within an attendance boundary?

Thank you for your prompt attention to this inquiry.

Sincerely,

Jim Stanley 3632 Durrance Street Trinity, FL 34655 From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#111]

Date: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 10:01:21 PM

Level	High School
Name	Steven Sparks
Address	1942 Mountain Ash Way New Port Richey, FL 34655
Phone	(727) 798-5202
Email	stevensparks@verizon.net
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity
Based on available information, the enrollment numbers for the rezoning of my neighborhood do not support moving away from Mitchell to Anclote. I feel decisions are being made based on skewed data. Before my family and neighbors are affected, a thorough investigation and adjustment to the current enrollment figures must be done to correct the number of students who choose to not attend school in their current zone.

From: <u>Jim Stanley</u>

To: <u>Christopher G. Williams</u>; <u>rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>

Cc: <u>Linda Cobbe</u>; <u>Kurt S. Browning</u>
Subject: Request for copy of changes to

Date: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 10:50:30 PM

Mr. Williams:

Pursuant to FS 120.54(3)(d)1., which reads, "In addition, when any change is made in a proposed rule, other than a technical change, the adopting agency shall provide a copy of a notice of change by certified mail or actual delivery to any person who requests it in writing no later than 21 days after the notice required in paragraph (a)," I am formally requesting a copy of notice of change should any change be made to the proposed rule from the time it was first published on February 23, 2018. My address is 3632 Durrance Street, Trinity, FL 34655.

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#112]

Date: Thursday, March 15, 2018 4:55:20 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Trinity Homeowner
Address	8411 Phototonics Drive Not actual address but close enough Trinity, Florida 34655 United States
Email	Concernedowner14@yahoo.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle School and JWMHS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Socioeconomic Balance

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

So I noticed that the only two data sets you seem focused on our the free and reduced a.k.a. low income students, the other data subset being minority students. I would like to know why you are choosing these data subsets as priorities?? And what does that have to do with Schools and zoning maps???? I wasn't aware that there was any state requirement that these two data points served any particular significance or importance ???

Comments on Socioeconomic Balance

See comments regarding proposed map and other comments. The fact even have this as an option to click on is very telling and proves my point

Comments on proposed map

I think your proposed maps are preposterous because I know exactly why you are choosing to split the map up the way that you are. You are wanting to take children from Perrine ranch, which has both Magnolia Estates and Riverside us states along with an older established neighborhood east of Magnolia Estates, and put them with Anclote because Anclote is a poorer performing school. By adding those children living in those neighborhoods, along with the children living in the plantation subdivision that sits behind veterans village, you are decreasing the current free and reduced numbers at Anclote and Paul R. Middle, decreasing the minority rate at both, thereby hoping those students will bring the performance grade for both of those schools up. This is doing a huge disservice to those students! Taking them from a rated schools to lower rated schools with the hope/expectation that they will raise the school rating for those schools!! On the other hand, it has not gone unnoticed that you are choosing to keep the eastern portion of veterans village at SSMS, Doing so at great lengths, it appears, so that the free and reduced rate and the minority rate at SSMS and Mitchell high school remain where they are. It would make more sense to remove both east and western sides of veterans village and send them to Gulf... but you won't do that because by doing so you reduce those data numbers in SSMS and Mitchell high school. Tell the public that this is wrong information! There is no reason for you to do this other than for political motives. Your political motives will be exposed for what they are.

Other comments

I have absolutely nothing against free and reduced lunch recipients, nor do I have any issues whatsoever with minorities. However, I have a large problem with school board officials focusing on those data subsets above all else and trying to keep the public in the dark as to why you are choosing your boundaries. Your boundaries are not based on anything other than the data subsets you have focused on and that is wrong!!! this political motive will be exposed. The children who live off Perrine Ranch in Riverside and Magnolia Estates are closer to Mitchell and SSMS than Veterans village students are, when we eliminate the pathetic excuse given by some of "as the crow flies" since we are all aware crows do not fly children to the school. I strongly suggest you reevaluate your zoning techniques without regard to your curious data subsets which should have NO bearing on school zones whatsoever.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Mjriddel@hotmail.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#39]

Date: Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:36:26 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Riddel,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed on to our superintendent and the school board. Please review the spreadsheets on our rezoning website which shows this rezoning will not have significant impact on the socioeconomic make-up of the impacted schools. Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Sunday, March 11, 2018 9:01 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#39]

Level	Middle School
Name	Michael Riddel
Address	3135 Lodi Drive New Port Richey, FI 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 858-7069
Email	Mjriddel@hotmail.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

We are all part of Veterans Villages, not just the East side of Seven Springs Rd. All the houses were built at the same times with the same floor plans. Just as the larger neighborhoods are divided by different subdivisions (Meadow Point, Beacon Woods, etc...)

Comments on proposed map

I bet if I lived in a \$300,000 plus home value, this would never happen. Allow the rich Trinity homes to attend the nice & well rated schools, while the poorer home values attend the less rated/poor quality schools? I would also like to know how much more my property value will diminish when the re-zone happens? We originally purchased in this area for the good school zone, unable to afford the affluent "Trinity" area, but a rezone would change what we have worked for over the past 10 years for.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: zztaf2@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#40]

Date: Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:53:43 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Taft,

Thank you for your feedback. Your feedback will be provided to our superintendent and school board. You asked several guestions which I will try to address:

Questions for you to address:

1) Is School Safety a priority to Pasco County?; Absolutely

How does this rezoning of our area; mixing into higher crime locations of Holiday and busing students into a different town further away improve School Safety? We prioritize school safety and we do not see this rezoning negatively affecting school safety.

- 2) What is the additional budget to rezone students? We do not anticipate a significant impact to the budget although the proposed phase-in of the western area into Paul R. Smith Middle and Anclote High will cost additional transportation dollars for about 3 years until the phase-in is complete. However, this phase-in allows students to finish out the school they are currently located in.
- 3) Why the additional disruption of moving students out, to move other into Anclote? The area being moved from Anclote into Gulf was already rezoned last year. With the court invalidating this we are simply redoing what was done last year.
- 4) How many students within Anclote school district attend other schools and Please see the spreadsheets posted on our rezoning website
- 4b) How many students outside Anclote school district attend Anclote? Please see the spreadsheets posted on our rezoning website
- 4c) How may students did the new academic program attract to Anclote? I recently saw that 33 students were accepted into the Cambridge program at Anclote High for next year from outside the zone. I do not have last year's number readily available but I would assume a similar number.
- 5) Why such the bad school gradings for Anclote in comparison to other Pasco schools? You can find information about school grades at this website: http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/arm/accountability/

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Sunday, March 11, 2018 11:31 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#40]

Level	High School
Name	Randall Taft
Address	6316 Alcester Drive New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 766-2608
Email	zztaf2@yahoo.com
Current school	JW Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

The proposed area south of 54 and west of Seven Springs. I am a home owner like many who invest in their homes upon investigating and researching school districts. This proposal is a selecting taking of property values.

Comments on proposed map

The select group of home owners residents you are displacing is taking of home values and quality of education. More acceptable considerations are needed...New Port Richey residents demand and have a right to be zoned within New Port Richey Schools.

Other comments

- 3 main priorities are being violated:
- 1) Safety -
- 2) Minimal disruptions
- 3) Residents being displaced to schools outside of their town of residence.

Questions for you to address:

1) Is School Safety a priority to Pasco County?;

How does this rezoning of our area; mixing into higher crime locations of Holiday and busing students into a different town further away improve School Safety?

- 2) What is the additional budget to rezone students?
- 3) Why the additional disruption of moving students out, to move other into Anclote?
- 4) How many students within Anclote school district attend other schools and
- 4b) How many students outside Anclote school district attend Anclote?
- 4c) How may students did the new academic program attract to Anclote?
- 5) Why such the bad school gradings for Anclote in comparison to other Pasco schools?

We are clearly against the proposed rezoning.

From: West Side Residents

To: cwilliams@pasco.k12.fl.us; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us; <a href="mailto:mail

Armstrong; Colleen Rene Beaudoin; James S. Luikart; jsolochek@tampabay.com; Kurt S. Browning

Subject: Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw Out Hearing

Date: Friday, March 16, 2018 12:48:40 AM

Attachments: LCRA and Draw-Out Request - The West Side - Final.pdf

Exhibit A .pdf Exhibit B.pdf Exhibit C.pdf Exhibit D.pdf Exhibit E .pdf Exhibit F.pdf

Dear Mr. Williams, Mr. Browning, and School Board Members,

Due to the current west side school rezoning, and in order to protect our rights, the West Side Area Residents must follow the Longleaf Neighborhood with the attached letter proposing Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw Out Hearing. By law, the public only has 21 days after the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to respond, which is why you are receiving our letter today. We did not want to have to do this. We had hoped that our concerns would be heard and that the District Staff would have been willing to work with us. We are regretfully left with no alternative.

We attended the "workshop" on Monday, March 12, in hopes to voice our concerns and work with the District Staff on the potential rezoning map. By law, any new map they might have created from our concerns and comments needed to be in place 28 days before the public hearing on April 10. It is evident, however, that the staff did not intend on working with us since they held their "workshop" 29 days before the public hearing, making it so no new maps could be drawn up.

The first step in resolving this is to stop the current rezoning immediately. Please sit down with us, listen to our concerns, issues, and ideas, and together, let's work on a solution. We sincerely hope that this rezoning can be resolved in a way where both the district and the residents can walk away without having wasted hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees.

We appreciate your time and dedication to making our community a great place to live, work, and raise our families.

From: West Side Residents

To: Christopher G. Williams

Subject: Fwd: Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw Out Hearing

Date: Friday, March 16, 2018 8:37:32 AM

Attachments: LCRA and Draw-Out Request - The West Side - Final.pdf

Exhibit A .pdf Exhibit B.pdf Exhibit C.pdf Exhibit D.pdf Exhibit E .pdf Exhibit F.pdf

Resend to Chris Williams. Email address had an "s" on the end.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: West Side Residents < wearewestsideresidents@gmail.com>

Date: Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 12:48 AM

Subject: Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for

Draw Out Hearing

To: cwilliams@pasco.k12.fl.us, rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us, aaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, aaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, aaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, aaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, jaaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, jaaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us, <a href="

Dear Mr. Williams, Mr. Browning, and School Board Members,

Due to the current west side school rezoning, and in order to protect our rights, the West Side Area Residents must follow the Longleaf Neighborhood with the attached letter proposing Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw Out Hearing. By law, the public only has 21 days after the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to respond, which is why you are receiving our letter today. We did not want to have to do this. We had hoped that our concerns would be heard and that the District Staff would have been willing to work with us. We are regretfully left with no alternative.

We attended the "workshop" on Monday, March 12, in hopes to voice our concerns and work with the District Staff on the potential rezoning map. By law, any new map they might have created from our concerns and comments needed to be in place 28 days before the public hearing on April 10. It is evident, however, that the staff did not intend on working with us since they held their "workshop" 29 days before the public hearing, making it so no new maps could be drawn up.

The first step in resolving this is to stop the current rezoning immediately. Please sit down with us, listen to our concerns, issues, and ideas, and together, let's work on a solution. We sincerely hope that this rezoning can be resolved in a way where both the district and the residents can walk away without having wasted hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees.

We appreciate your time and dedication to making our community a great place to live, work, and raise our families.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#113]

Date: Friday, March 16, 2018 9:40:54 AM

 Level
 Middle School

 Email
 safety98@gmail.com

 Current school
 SSMS

 Topics of interest
 - Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I completely support the new boundary maps and Mr. Browning's commitment to making school choice applicants re-apply each year. I am a parent but also a tax-payer. I think the current plan sets out to accomplish as much as possible for parents, students and tax-payers.

Other comments

I do think that any new home being built must be forced to pay higher impact fees to pay for a new school. The only reason we need new schools is due to growth of these new homes being built. Therefore, they should pay (significantly) for the expansion and new schools. Not only new homes being built but all of the new businesses being built along the SR54 corridor. These businesses will benefit from the new homes being built so they should also have to shoulder the costs for building a new school if they put their businesses in that area.

From: <u>Jim Stanley</u>

To: Kurt S. Browning; Christopher G. Williams; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Cc: Cynthia A. Armstrong; Allen Altman; Alison G. Crumbley; Colleen Rene Beaudoin; James S. Luikart; Cathy Unger;

Michele McPheron; Kimberly Kizer; cel loyola; Jeffrey Solochek

Subject: Re: Submission of Proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives and Request for Draw Out Hearing

Date: Friday, March 16, 2018 4:13:26 PM

Dear Superintendent Browning and Mr. Williams,

Please accept this email as a supplement to our submission of a proposal for Lower Cost Regulatory Alternatives which was sent to you on March 4. We propose to move only the neighborhoods known as Starkey Ranch (referred to as areas 6 and 11 in 2016-2017 rezone which went into effect for the 2017-2018 school year) from Mitchell High School and Seven Springs Middle School to River Ridge High School and River Ridge Middle School. All other areas remain in the assigned school boundaries consistent with the map prior to the rezone which was voided by the Circuit Court.

It is our contention that this change, combined with any combination of LCRA options A, B and C, will accomplish the objectives set out by the District's proposed rule and at substantially less cost.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jim Stanley 3632 Durrance Street Trinity, FL 34655

On Sun, Mar 4, 2018 at 9:01 PM, Jim Stanley < jjs1791@gmail.com > wrote: Dear Superintendent Browning and Mr. Williams,

Please find attached a letter from representatives of the neighborhoods of Longleaf and Ellington proposing lower cost alternatives to the proposed rule regarding changes to the attendance boundaries for schools in West Pasco, and Exhibits A, B and C which are incorporated by reference in the letter.

It is our sincere hope that you will accept these alternatives in the spirit of collaboration in which they were created and submitted and that further legal conflict will not be necessary.

Sincerely,

Jim Stanley 3632 Durrance Street Trinity, FL 34655

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#114]

Date: Sunday, March 18, 2018 11:49:27 PM

Level	High School
Name	Leslie Johnson
Address	2019 Tarragon Lane FL New Port Richey United States
Phone	(215) 200-1704
Email	lesdan414@gmail.com
Current school	Anclote
Topics of interest	Future Growth and CapacityPlanning IntegrityRe-zoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thanks you

Comments on Planning Integrity

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thanks you

Comments on proposed map

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thank you.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: lesdan414@gmail.com

Subject: Fwd: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#114]

Date: Monday, March 19, 2018 1:58:42 AM

Ms. Johnson,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board. Please explain your comment regarding "cooked books". Are you implying that something is purposefully in error? Please provide evidence.

Thank you, Chris Williams

Get Outlook for iOS

From: MachForm < no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us >

Sent: Sunday, March 18, 2018 8:49 PM

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#114]

To: <rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Level	High School
Name	Leslie Johnson
Address	2019 Tarragon Lane FL New Port Richey United States
Phone	(215) 200-1704
Email	lesdan414@gmail.com
Current school	Anclote
Topics of interest	Future Growth and CapacityPlanning IntegrityRe-zoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thanks you

Comments on Planning Integrity

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thanks you

Comments on proposed map

The planned rezoning appears to be based on "cooked books" and does not appear to address current or future, VERY potential issues of over-crowding, etc. I would like to see more time, effort, and critical, positive outcome-based thinking go into such decisions.

Thank you.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#115]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 4:26:29 AM

Level	High School
Name	David Bain
Address	1642 Overview Drive New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 992-2919
Email	dwbain22@gmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity - CUSTODY

Comments on Subdivision Integrity
My wife and I purchased our home in Oakridge (off of Perrine Ranch) SOLEY based on the school district. I have split custody of my two daughters who attend JW Mitchell High and Seven Springs Middle. In order to maintain primary custody of my daughters, I must remain within the school district as outlined in my parenting plan. We never would have purchased in the neighborhood had the home not been in the district.

Comments on proposed map

Our home sits directly on the border of the proposed change. PLEASE reconsider leaving Oakridge subdivision in the district as this impacts more than just property values.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#116]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 4:51:39 AM

Level	Middle School
Name	Laura Bain
Address	1642 Overview Drive New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 784-5136
Email	aimnhi129@hotmail.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle School
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

My family and I purchased our home in 2016 in the Oakridge subdivision off of Perrine Ranch Road due to the fact that we wanted to remain within the school district for our two daughters who attend Seven Springs and JW Mitchell. We would not have purchased in the neighborhood had we known the schools would be rezoned shortly after moving. We sold our home in Fairway Springs which was/is within the subdivision and are deeply saddened by the proposed changes

Comments on proposed map

We certainly understand overcrowding is an issue, but we are pleading with the board to PLEASE find an alternative solution and leave all of Oakridge subdivision within the boundary.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#117]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 6:27:06 AM

Level	High School
Name	Debbie Ritzinger
Address	1717 Nodding Thistle drive New Port Richey, Florida 34655
Phone	(330) 815-5194
Email	debbieritzinger@hotmail.com
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	Subdivision IntegrityHome Values

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

I urge you to reconsider the rezoning of the Magnolia Estates neighborhood. We purchased a home in this neighborhood less than a year ago, and even though we do not have children in school any more, the school district was one of our concerns. We moved from Trinity and knew that the school district there was a very highly rated one and wanted to remain in the same one in case my husband's son came to live with us. We also know that so many people in our development are very passionate about their children remaining in the schools that they have been attending. Please do not change these schools. I did not purchase here for my home value to go down because they will when all of these people with school age children start putting houses up for sale.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To:

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#118]
Tuesday, March 20, 2018 6:10:57 PM Subject:

Date:

Level	High School
Name	Richmond Sylvester
Address	6637 Sweetgum Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 465-9075
Email	Sylvesr@gmail.com
Current school	J. W. Mitchell
Topics of interest	Future Growth and CapacityTransportationRe zoning

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#119]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 6:33:54 PM

Level	High School
Name	Liz Mejia
Address	6603 Catalpa Dr NPR, fl 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 376-0455
Email	Liz_advocare@verizon.net
Current school	JW Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Mitchell needs to grow to accommodate its students. Subdivisions that haven't been built yet should not get priority over our subdivision that has been here over 30 years. Verify addresses. Your saying you won't is rather suspicious.

Comments on proposed map

Mitchell needs to grow to accommodate its students. Subdivisions that haven't been built yet should not get priority over our subdivision that has been here over 30years. Verify addresses. Your saying you won't is rather suspicious.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#120]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 6:37:56 PM

Level	High School
Name	Richmond Sylvester
Address	6637 Sweetgum Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 465-9075
Email	Sylvesr@gmail.com
Current school	J. W. Mitchell
Topics of interest	Future Growth and CapacityTransportationRezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Pasco county and the school board have chosen to betray current residents who have been paying our taxes and supporting Pasco county and our local schools for years in favor of potential residents.

Comments on Transportation

How can you justify telling families who have intentionally chosen to live close to their local school that they are now going to have to shoulder an almost 30 minute commute several times a day, so some other children, who don't even live in the same zip code, could have their spot in their neighborhood school?

Comments on proposed map

Why are you dividing the school zone so neighborhoods are divided and so city residents are divided rather than sending kids who live in an entirely different town to Sunlake, which is significantly closer to families that live East of Trinity Blvd?

Other comments

How do you justify taking kids, who's families intentionally moved to live within a 10/10 school's footprint so their children could get the best possible education, and forcing them to bus to a 3/10 school in another town? It's unconscionable that you are justifying gutting the home value of residents' homes. Realtor.com estimates a loss of \$10,000 for each point lost on the Great Schools grade chart. You are condemning residents to an instantaneous loss of \$70,000 in home value. It's not even as if the school board is moving these kids to a comparable school. You're forcing families to send their children to a school that is significantly worse than their current school. This is a school with twice the national suspension rates, with significantly fewer graduates than the national average, and a school that has already had hundreds of their own zoned kids opt out of attending that school. It is not fair that my children are getting thrown out of our neighborhood school so kids from other town can have their seat!

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#121]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 8:01:38 PM

Level	High School
Name	John Virga
Address	Magnolia Estates
Email	johnvirga@hotmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell High School

Comments on proposed map

Please do not approve the map proposed by the Superintendent which moves the neighborhoods west of seven springs to AHS and PRSMS. There are other things that must be considered like address verification, school choice reset and additions to the existing facilities before you disrupt all these communities. My family is fine with the current school capacity and there is time to pursue other alternatives.

Other comments

I am also extremely concerned with any impacts to my home value. People consider school district and distance to schools when they purchase a home. I am sure a rezone of my neighborhood will create decreases in property value.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#122]

Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 11:07:49 PM

Level	Elementary School
Name	Sheila Basing
Address	1900 mountain ash way Npr, Fl 34655 United States
Email	Sgordon33@aol.com
Topics of interest	Future Growth and CapacityRezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity
As parents of a young child we are concerned with the rezoning. We chose this area based on the current schools in our school zoning. If we are rezoned we will be forced to send to private school. Please keep this area in the same school Zone it currently is in.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#123]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 2:05:54 AM

Level	High School
Name	Patricia Maldonado
Address	2330 Tarragon Lane New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 892-2244
Email	pamaldo1227@yahoo.com
Current school	JW Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Anclote HS remains under capacity because of its location. When the county allowed for more construction to take place there should have been ample thought to where these students would attend school. There should have been a plan to build an additional middle and high school that would accommodate the new students and families moving into the area. I am certain that these families probably bought their new homes with special consideration given to school boundaries.

(Collectively everyone on the school board would likely have the same thought process as parents.) This proposed rezoning of both JW Mitchell and Seven Springs is going to cause a number of problems if implemented. There will likely be false addresses given so that a child can attend at particular school location if they are rezoned to a school that does not meet the expectations of the type of school a parent would like for their child to attend. In addition routing our children down US 19 is a real concern regarding transportation and safety. Plus, it will likely affect the number of students that will be able to attend after school practices and etc. This proposed rezoning IS going to disrupt many families that have chosen these particular school zones to reside in - it will affect more than the school board is taking into consideration.

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

As for the future subdivision integrity of my home here in Magnolia Estates possibly losing value... YES, this is upsetting! We bought our home not only for comfort and location to employment, but also because of the excellent school district zones that this community is zoned to. Changing the current school zone from JW Mitchell HS to Anclote HS and changing Seven Springs MS to Paul R Smith MS... WILL most definitely impact the value of our homes in a negative manner.

I understand that the school board sees a need to make changes, but this is wrong! You are proposing to disrupt current communities that bought into a certain expectation and choice - to give way for "newer communities" to reap what we have been paying thousands of dollars in taxes for years to help build this county! I implore you to take into consideration NOT rezoning Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge, and other surrounding areas of Seven Springs that are currently zoned for JW Mitchell and SSMS.

Comments on proposed map

The flow of the proposed map is against what would be considered the "normal boundaries" for this area. The school board is picking and choosing the new HS zoning based on what they state is out of necessity...yet, they are failing to recognize the impact this will have on current students, families in general, community integrity, and the future value of these tax payers homes.

Other comments

Yes, communities grow - which is good. However, it is irresponsible for Pasco County to allow for new growth and not adequately prepare for such growth. To now displace our children to schools that are not the feeder schools that we had planned for our children to attend is disgraceful and unfair!! As tax payers we have a voice and our voice should be heard and given GREAT consideration. Thank you for this opportunity to voice my opinion and concerns.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#124]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 6:08:13 AM

Level	High School
Name	Mark Supanik
Address	2000 Mountain Ash Way New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 424-4393
Email	msupanik@live.com
Current school	Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Map

Comments on proposed map

Please find a more effective way to resolve this rather than turning everyone's lives upside down. People have purchased homes based on your former decisions and then you change the next year? Unacceptable. Eliminate the outside choice kids and get your numbers back in line. This isn't difficult.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#125]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 8:47:19 PM

Level	High School
Name	Christine Ageladelis
Address	2029 Academy Ct New Port Richey, FI 34655
Phone	(727) 364-1199
Email	Cageladelis@hotmail.com
Current school	J W Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Rezoning

Comments on proposed map

I am so disappointed in the school boards decission to start this massive rezone at the end of the year. While I understand the reason for it and realize it was probably bound to happen, this is too large an area to rezone so hastily. You are sending children from their schools, friends and community to a school practically in another county. Anclote is basically pinellas county. Traffic, demographics and community are completely different then their current school. Parents are not being given enough time to find alternate choices for their children. Opening school choice for a day or two before the summer is not enough. Taking Kids and putting them in a school that is not ranked and does not offer the same choices in classes is not acceptable. If this was to go into effect then give parents and students til next school year to make arrangements. New schools, charter schools have already had registration so it is almost impossible to find another choice. Also no child should be moved out of their current school until address verification has been conducted. Rules are in place for a reason. I also think there should not be special programs at mitchellI that allow children to choice in. Those programs should be at the schools we are trying to fill, not schools that are at capacity. Please consider delaying this massive rezone til next year. Give parents a chance to decide and do what is right for their children. We bought these homes in the areas we are in for the schools. Not to be rippped out of them and put in other schools give us time to react and make adjustments accordingly.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#126]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 8:57:27 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	David Steadham
Address	3123 Tori Court New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Email	Davids2595@aol.com
Current school	Seven springs elementary school
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

These kids have been together some since kindergarten

Other comments

You are proposing that our children be split up. My sons go to Mitchell high school and now my daughter could be sent to Paul R. Smith. We have heard that school choice won't work because SSMS is a frozen school. When will everything be decided? How is this fair to do to these kids when just last month at the elementary school my daughter filled out her classes for middle school. And wants to go to SSMS summer camp.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#127]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:13:20 PM

 Level
 High School

 Name
 Christine Stahl

Address

United States

 Email
 cstahl@bellsouth.net

 Current school
 Mitchell High School

Comments on proposed map

NO STUDENTS SHOULD BE REZONED PRIOR TO A COUNTY WIDE ADDRESS VERIFICATION...PERIOD!

This is not a difficult task...you are making excuses as to why it can't be done. Stop the excuses and verify addresses.

How can you consider a rezone without doing your due diligence??? You've had 2 years to address this properly. Stop hiding behind a judge's ruling that voided last year's rezone. Had you done the rezone properly the first time and took all of the suggestions into account, you could have avoided an immediate rezone and there would have likely not been a judge involved at all. A Delay last year would have saved the school board A LOT OF MONEY!!! But, here we are again and you are going about it the same way!

Stop hiding behind the results of a lawsuit and make the right decision!

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#128]

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 10:08:34 PM

Level	High School
Name	Kathleen Williams
Address	6631 Ridge Top Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 375-5083
Email	kathy19521@verizon.net
Current school	JW Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	 Extracurricular Activities Future Growth and Capacity Planning Integrity Socioeconomic Balance Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Extracurricular Activities

Our child has been in the SSMS band 3 years and JW Mitchell band 3 years, making him a part of a large family. It would not be in his best interest to be zoned to another school.

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

How is it that Publix knows the growth of the 54 corridor better than the Pasco Planning Commission, Pasco County Commissioners and the Pasco County School board. Amazing that they can and do plan ahead of the building that is now happening, ready for a store to open before the actual need is realized.

Comments on Planning Integrity

The Planning commission, County Commissioners, and School board evidently don't plan for any growth. They try to play catch up when the deed is done.

You knew that the 54 corridor was going to be built out years ago, this is your problem and you need to build new schools ASAP, and add on to the schools already built.

You take the developers money and what? Don't do what you are paid to do!!!

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

We bought and built out home here, paying a premium for the parcel of property and for the reputable builder to build our home. To now rezone children who live in this subdivision, Oak Ridge, to a badly performing school is out of the question. We pay high taxes for our homes and expect to be zoned for schools that are high performing schools. When this subject was being debated last year I attended a meeting at River Ridge where the principal of Anclote High School got up and said what a great school it was and how welcoming they were.....next day in the newspaper there it was.....an assistant principal from Anclote High was arrested for marijuana use. Really? That's not quite the adult mentors I had in mind for my children.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#129] Subject:

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 10:10:20 PM

Level	Elementary School
Name	Abigail Stuart Ingham
Address	1317 Fishing Lake Dr Odessa, FL 33556 United States
Email	Abbistuart@yahoo.com
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on proposed map

-Map 5x makes the most logical sense and has my support.
-I am strongly advocating for address verification for all students at over capacity schools.
-Finally I echo the frustration in our community at the amount of subdivisions that have been allowed to be built without any new schools being added.

From: Christine Stahl
To: Christopher G. Williams
Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn
Subject: Rezoning Criteria

Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 11:07:01 PM

Mr. Williams:

After reading the public comments that were posted, you repeatedly mention criteria concerning this rezone.

Can you confirm if your criteria, that you mention, is the same as the guidelines that were distributed during the boundary committee meetings during last year's rezone?

Thank you, Christine Stahl

Sent from my iPhone

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#130]

Date: Thursday, March 22, 2018 10:54:16 AM

 Name
 Mayling Kromolicki

 Email
 maylingeast@yahoo.com

 Topics of interest
 - Future Growth and Capacity - Planning Integrity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Anclote High School should not be under capacity. Students who are zoned for AHS choose not to go there. Do not replace them with students currently zoned for JW Mitchell High School in the area west of Seven Springs Boulevard.

Anclote High Schools Total Capacity = 1651

Currently enrolled = 1199

Students in the boundary = 1515

Students enrolled at assigned school = 1156 Students who choice in = 43 (1199-1156=43) Students who choice out = 359 (1515-1156=359)

Of the 359 students who choice out of AHS, 116 go to Gulf High School. Where do the other 243 students go? Do they go to JWMHS?

Map 5x takes 241 students from JWMHS and moves them to AHS. 359 students choose not to go to Anclote High School. Anclote High School should be filled with students already zoned for that school.

If you add up all the numbers:

Currently enrolled =1199

Plus Students who choice out = 359

Total enrolled students at AHS should be at 1558. This puts AHS at 93% capacity, still leaving room for the Cambridge Program to grow.

The area west of Seven Springs Boulevard DOES NOT have to be rezoned to Anclote High School. The numbers speak for themselves.

Comments on Planning Integrity

Map 5x does not fix feeder patterns as claimed in multiple emails and comments on the Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes.

Current feeder patter for the area on SR 54, between Thys Road and Madison Road:

- Anclote Elementary Currently split between Gulf Middle and Paul R Smith Middle
- Gulf Middle There are 116 students who choice into Gulf High because they go to Gulf Middle, once you change the Middle school to PRSM this will phase itself out.
- Anclote High School

To truly fix the feeder pattern do not use map 5x. Do not move the area off of SR 54 to Gulf High School instead, move the middle school to Paul R Smith Middle School.

- · Anclote elementary No longer splitting elementary school
- Paul R Smith Middle School
- · Anclote High school

Map 5x splits more schools then fixes feeder patterns.

- Anclote Elementary is split between PRSMS and GMS
- Seven springs Elementary is split between SSMS and PRSMS

Map 5x is not fixing feeder patterns as was stated in an email to me, more feeder patterns are being disrupted.

Comments on proposed map

- ****Do not use map 5x to fill Anclote High School, use its currently zoned students to fill Anclote High School.
- ****Fix feeder patterns by rezoning the middle school for area off of SR 54 to Paul R Smith Middle School.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#131]

Date: Thursday, March 22, 2018 1:05:55 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Stephanie Doolittle
Address	3284 La Verne Court New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 237-5887
Email	littlepear83@aol.com
Current school	Seven Springs Elementary School
Topics of interest	- Rezoning

Comments on proposed map

As a single Mom of two children under 10, I have worked hard to save to be able to purchase a home in the SSMS and JWMHS attendance zone. Rezoning my neighborhood, Venice Estates, would create an absolute hardship for my family. With the proposed school being much further away, it's unsafe for my children to ride their bicycles to school so that they could participate in before/after school clubs/programs/sports. I would need to drive them to/from school. I work in the option to the proposed direction, so this creates both a commuting time issue and more importantly, a financial hardship with the increased commuting.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#132]

Date: Thursday, March 22, 2018 6:03:46 PM

Level	High School
Name	steven okun
Address	2128 Mountain Ash way New Port Richey United States
Phone	(727) 858-0079
Email	stevenbokun@aol.com
Current school	Mitchell H.S.
Topics of interest	- Rezoning

Comments on proposed map

From conversations with school board employees and doing my research, it is apparent to me that incomplete information is being provided to Mr. Browning. Thus preventing him from making an informed decision. Questions I have asked and could not get a straight answer on:

- What is the rush to rezone everyone by Aug 18, especially without doing due diligence?
- How many students zoned for Anclote and Gulf actually using school choice to go to another school?
- Why not conduct address verifications. I know some who have used false addresses? The list was provided by others to district not sure what has happened.
- Mitchell is over crowded, how many are on school choice to attend?

Comments
- Yes, I understand what I am asking to be done is hard, however, when you are talking about causing a major disruption in peoples lives, you owe it to them and do what is right no matter how hard it is.

- I also understand some of this cost money. To defray cost I am confident many parents will volunteer to assist where and when needed. I am also sure district will make sure no privacy laws will be violated.

- Many issues arise from doing an address verification, such as people not answering letters, phone calls, or even knocks at doors and cost. Although I do not have all the answers for this one, except persistence. It should be tried and see what happens. Any one who does not answer any attempt at being contacted should have their child go to another public school. It is not fair to the law abiding citizens to be rezoned when people who are breaking the law are allowed to stay. To me that is criminal.
- I understand and agree with certain people getting school choice, i.e. special needs kids, employees of pasco county school district. I also believe this can cloud the judgment of the people doing the planning and making recommendations. I am pretty sure if someone on the planning team or in a decision making position was affected by the rezoning (no school choice or house depreciation for being rezoned to an underachieving school) things would be done in a more methodical and detailed way.
- Yes everyone is entitled to an education and no one is saying not to provide a child with out one. That is illegal.
- I am ok with my kids attending an over crowded school at this time because I believe the overcrowding will be mitigated in the future. Ways to correct problem of over crowded, which I believe you are already exploring and doing, are build new schools and build onto existing schools.

Thank you for your time.

From: Elizabeth P. Kuhn

To: Christopher G. Williams

Subject: FW: School Rezoning

Date: Thursday, March 22, 2018 10:13:35 PM

Just making sure you received since you are collecting

--

Elizabeth Kuhn, Esq. Assistant Superintendent for Support Services Pasco County Schools 20430 Gator Lane Land O' Lakes, FL 34638

Tel: (813) 794-2203 Cell: (614) 204-5259

E-mail: ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us



From: Kristine Bigelow

 kigelowk123@gmail.com>

Date: Thursday, March 22, 2018 at 12:03 PM

To: "ksbsos@pasco.k.12.fl.us" <ksbsos@pasco.k.12.fl.us>

Subject: School Rezoning

I am so irritated that the school rezoning thing is coming up again for Magnolia Estates. We already fought this battle last year and now it is back again. It is not right that our neighborhood is again being proposed to be moved to a less desirable school zone. However, I do have some suggestions for alternative plans. How about stop zoning new neighborhoods like Asturia into JWMHS and SSMS. They are new and should be the ones put into a different school from the beginning instead of moving existing homes. The people buying in a new development have a choice of whether to buy there or not if they care about the school they are zoned for. People who have already purchased homes do not have a choice and many have purchased their homes based on the school district they are zoned for currently.

Do not move the area on 54 between Thys Road and Madison Road to Gulf High. They are building 400 new homes and 600 apartments in that area. I understand you are proposing rezoning that area out of Anclote High School and into Gulf High School making room for our neighborhoods to go to AHS. Again, you are moving long standing neighborhoods, for new homes.

There are many children who use false addresses to attend JWMHS and SSMS. The school district has been asked to do an address verification of current students, so that there is room for our kids numerous times. The school district has not done an address verification, claiming you do not have the staff. The children who have unverified false addresses will get to stay at our zoned schools while we must relocate. Please fix address verification. You could hire temporary staff to do this which would be cheaper in the

long run than fighting lawsuits over rezoning to say nothing of creating jobs instead of ticking off taxpayers.

Put an addition on to SSMS and JWMHS, the schools were built to hold more students. However, the state lowered classroom sizes and the school's total capacity went down. The lunchroom, gym, stadium, and bathrooms were built for a higher capacity of students and by adding more classrooms you could avoid the rezoning that is being proposed.

Finally stop accepting students into JWMHS and SSMS using school choice. This is only supposed to be allowed if there is room, which there clearly is not at this point, until some action, as suggested above is taken.

I would personally appreciate if you would consider my suggestions as outlined above and NOT proceed with the school rezoning that is proposed. I really don't want to have to waste money on attorneys to fight what I consider to be a foolish choice on the part of the school district. And I would rather not have the school district have to waste money on attorneys fighting back. The only ones who win in this situation are the attorneys.

Sincerely,

Kristine Bigelow

Treasurer for the Penny for Pasco campaign 2003.

From: Elizabeth P. Kuhn

To: Christopher G. Williams

Subject: Fwd: Magnolia Estates

Date: Friday, March 23, 2018 9:45:36 AM

FYI

Betsy

Begin forwarded message:

From: Debbie Ritzinger < <u>DebbieRitzinger@hotmail.com</u>>

Date: March 23, 2018 at 7:09:14 AM EDT

To: "ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us" <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>,

"rgadd@pasco.k12.fl.us" <rgadd@pasco.k12.fl.us>, "tberryhi@pasco.k12.fl.us" <tberryhi@pasco.k12.fl.us>, "ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us" <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>,

"jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us" <jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Subject: Magnolia Estates

Dear District Staff & School Board Members,

My husband, Brian Yelling, and I are recent residents of Magnolia Estates. We purchased our home last June when we moved here from Trinity. Even though we no longer have school aged children living with us, we purchased our home based on a lot of factors, including the school district. When we moved to Trinity from Ohio in 2015 we knew that the district there was rated very high, and we loved the area. When we decided to sell and moved in 2017 we wanted to stay in Trinity for a number of reasons, the school district being one of them.

After much looking, we found a home in a place we never thought we would move...New Port Richey...Magnolia Estates. Our realtor suggested we look here for the homes and we fell in love. But, we also knew that should our son come here the schools would remain the same as they were there.

[&]quot;alaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us" <alaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us>,

[&]quot;crbeaudo@pasco.k12.fl.us" < crbeaudo@pasco.k12.fl.us >,

[&]quot;carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us" < carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us>,

 $[&]quot;\underline{acrumble@pasco.k12.fl.us}" < \underline{acrumble@pasco.k12.fl.us}",$

Now, less than a year later, this may change. This will affect our home values, our neighborhood makeup, and many of our current neighbors leaving. I cannot leave being here less than one year.

Why are you moving the area on 54 between Thys Rd and Madison to Gulf High? You are rezoning this area into Gulf High to make room for our kids to go to Anclote? You keep moving long standing neighborhoods into other schools to make room for new homes. Why are these newer homes/neighborhoods more important that us?

And, before you change the district have you done the verification of addresses of all children who are attending Mitchell and other schools that our kids would be zoned out of to make sure that you don't have room for them? Are you sure all of these children belong there?

I know you may not think that I have an argument since I have no children in the district at this time, but my home is my argument...my neighborhood is my argument...and my neighbors and their children are my argument. These kids have always gone to the same school district. This needs to remain the same, both for the children and for our homes.

Debbie Ritzinger 1717 Nodding Thistle Drive New Port Richey, FL 34655

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#133]

Date: Friday, March 23, 2018 11:01:53 AM

 Level
 Middle School

 Name
 Tammy Ciske

 Email
 tammystormrn@hotmail.com

 Topics of interest
 - Planning Integrity

Comments on Planning Integrity

I have read through the Questions and Answers as well as all the community comments. Address verification seems to be of great concern to constituents, but is not included in the "Questions and Answers". It appears as though there is tremendous resistance from the district in this matter, but is difficult to understand why. At the workshop I overheard Mrs. Crumbley say that she is looking into what is being done in terms of address verification. Where can I find the rationale for not verifying addresses of every student every year? And what was told to Mrs. Crumbley as to why this wasn't done (meaning absolutely no change in the process) for the 2017/18 school year? Currently, a student can verify an address upon enrollment in kindergarten and use that address all the way to 12th grade.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#134]

Date: Friday, March 23, 2018 1:59:11 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Kim Kizer
Address	10233 Nicklaus Dr New Port Richey United States
Phone	(727) 207-1517
Email	kimberlykaye72@me.com
Current school	River Ridge Middle School
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

Our family is one of a few that were resigned last year from Seven Springs Middle School to River Ridge Middle School. Our neighborhood is only a few miles from SSMS and I see no reason that we were the only ones rezoned when there are neighborhoods that go to SSMS that literally have to pass our house to get to school yet we have to go to a school 8 miles away. We can hear the marching band from our front porch. And there has not be a reason given to us that makes a bit of sense. We have 9 children total and 7 have been they SSMS AND JWMHS. Please consider training new neighborhoods that aren't even established yet and keeping our neighborhood at the schools that our kids love!!

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#135]

Date: Friday, March 23, 2018 10:06:25 PM

Level	Elementary School
Name	Justin Basing
Address	1900 mountain ash way New port richey, FI 34655 United States
Email	sgordon33@aol.com
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on proposed map

We are against the new school rezoning. There are many things that can be done to keep our children from being able to attend top rated school to low rated schools. We bought our home based on the current school zone and we will lose a significant amount of property value if you rezone and our children's education will be jeopardized. We will be forced to pursue other options as we will not send our child to the new proposed school zone. The new rezoning is going to cause a complete uproar. There is plenty of room to add on at the current schools in our zone, verify address for people using illegal addresses, and build new schools for the new neighborhoods being built.

From: Christopher G. Williams

To: <u>Concernedowner14@yahoo.com</u>

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#112]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 1:21:08 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Trinity Homeowner,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be forwarded on to the superintendent and school board.

You asked about the socioeconomic and minority datasets. We always take a look at these although they are necessarily priorities. We look at them to see if there is any large change in these percentages based on the boundary shifts we are proposing. If you look at the data sets that are posted on our rezoning website you will find that there is NOT any large change in these percentages. The focus at hand is not at these datasets but how to reduce overcrowding at SSMS and JWMHS.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 4:55 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#112]

Level	Middle School
Name	Trinity Homeowner
Address	8411 Phototonics Drive Not actual address but close enough Trinity, Florida 34655 United States
Email	Concernedowner14@yahoo.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle School and JWMHS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Socioeconomic Balance

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

So I noticed that the only two data sets you seem focused on our the free and reduced a.k.a. low income students, the other data subset being minority students. I would like to know why you are choosing these data subsets as priorities?? And what does that have to do with Schools and zoning maps???? I wasn't aware that there was any state requirement that these two data points served any particular significance or importance ???

Comments on Socioeconomic Balance

See comments regarding proposed map and other comments. The fact even have this as an option to click on is very telling and proves my point

Comments on proposed map

I think your proposed maps are preposterous because I know exactly why you are choosing to split the map up the way that you are. You are wanting to take children from Perrine ranch, which has both Magnolia Estates and Riverside us states along with an older established neighborhood east of Magnolia Estates, and put them with Anclote because Anclote is a poorer performing school. By adding those children living in those neighborhoods, along with the children living in the plantation subdivision that sits behind veterans village, you are decreasing the current free and reduced numbers at Anclote and Paul R. Middle, decreasing the minority rate at both, thereby hoping those students will bring the performance grade for both of those schools up. This is doing a huge disservice to those students! Taking them from a rated schools to lower rated schools with the hope/expectation that they will raise the school rating for those schools!! On the other hand, it has not gone unnoticed that you are choosing to keep the eastern portion of veterans village at SSMS, Doing so at great lengths, it appears, so that the free and reduced rate and the minority rate at SSMS and Mitchell high school remain where they are. It would make more sense to remove both east and western sides of veterans village and send them to Gulf... but you won't do that because by doing so you reduce those data numbers in SSMS and Mitchell high school. Tell the public that this is wrong information! There is no reason for you to do this other than for political motives. Your political motives will be exposed for what they are.

Other comments

I have absolutely nothing against free and reduced lunch recipients, nor do I have any issues whatsoever with minorities. However, I have a large problem with school board officials focusing on those data subsets above all else and trying to keep the public in the dark as to why you are choosing your boundaries. Your boundaries are not based on anything other than the data subsets you have focused on and that is wrong!!! this political motive will be exposed. The children who live off Perrine Ranch in Riverside and Magnolia Estates are closer to Mitchell and SSMS than Veterans village students are, when we eliminate the pathetic excuse given by some of "as the crow flies" since we are all aware crows do not fly children to the school. I strongly suggest you reevaluate your zoning techniques without regard to your curious data subsets which should have NO bearing on school zones whatsoever.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: dwbain22@gmail.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#115]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 1:43:11 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Bain,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed on to the superintendent and school board.

If this proposal is ultimately recommended by the superintendent and approved by the school board, please keep in mind that students in your area would be grandfathered at their current schools. Our school choice / open enrollment plan also prioritizes siblings. Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 4:26 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#115]

Level	High School
Name	David Bain
Address	1642 Overview Drive New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 992-2919
Email	dwbain22@gmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity - CUSTODY

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

My wife and I purchased our home in Oakridge (off of Perrine Ranch) SOLEY based on the school district. I have split custody of my two daughters who attend JW Mitchell High and Seven Springs Middle. In order to maintain primary custody of my daughters, I must remain within the school district as outlined in my parenting plan. We never would have purchased in the neighborhood had the home not been in the district.

Comments on proposed map

Our home sits directly on the border of the proposed change. PLEASE reconsider leaving Oakridge subdivision in the district as this impacts more than just property values.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Sylvesr@gmail.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#120]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 1:52:04 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Sylvester,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked about sending students on the east side of the boundary to Sunlake High. At this point, that is not an option due to Sunlake being at capacity and receiving all of the growth in the 54 corridor between the Suncoast Parkway and US Hwy 41.

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 6:38 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#120]

Level	High School
Name	Richmond Sylvester
Address	6637 Sweetgum Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 465-9075
Email	Sylvesr@gmail.com
Current school	J. W. Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity- Transportation- Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Pasco county and the school board have chosen to betray current residents who have been paying our taxes and supporting Pasco county and our local schools for years in favor of potential residents.

Comments on Transportation

How can you justify telling families who have intentionally chosen to live close to their local school that they are now going to have to shoulder an almost 30 minute commute several times a day, so some other children, who don't even live in the same zip code, could have their spot in their neighborhood school?

Comments on proposed map

Why are you dividing the school zone so neighborhoods are divided and so city residents are divided rather than sending kids who live in an entirely different town to Sunlake, which is significantly closer to families that live East of Trinity

Other comments

How do you justify taking kids, who's families intentionally moved to live within a 10/10 school's footprint so their children could get the best possible education, and forcing them to bus to a 3/10 school in another town? It's unconscionable that you are justifying gutting the home value of residents' homes. Realtor.com estimates a loss of \$10,000 for each point lost on the Great Schools grade chart. You are condemning residents to an instantaneous loss of \$70,000 in home value. It's not even as if the school board is moving these kids to a comparable school. You're forcing families to send their children to a school that is significantly worse than their current school. This is a school with twice the national suspension rates, with significantly fewer graduates than the national average, and a school that has already had hundreds of their own zoned kids opt out of attending that school. It is not fair that my children are getting thrown out of our neighborhood school so kids from other town can have their seat!

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: msupanik@live.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#124]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 1:58:23 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Supanik,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

So you are recommending that we do not allow school choice for any reason?

Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 6:08 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#124]

Level	High School
Name	Mark Supanik
Address	2000 Mountain Ash Way New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 424-4393
Email	msupanik@live.com
Current school	Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- Map

Comments on proposed map

Please find a more effective way to resolve this rather than turning everyone's lives upside down. People have purchased homes based on your former decisions and then you change the next year? Unacceptable. Eliminate the outside choice kids and get your numbers back in line. This isn't difficult.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Davids2595@aol.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#126]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 2:03:50 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Mr. Steadham,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked about school choice. Even though the schools are frozen you can still apply for school choice if this plan is ultimately recommended by the superintendent and approved by the school board. Siblings are prioritized.

Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 8:57 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#126]

Level	Middle School
Name	David Steadham
Address	3123 Tori Court New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Email	Davids2595@aol.com
Current school	Seven springs elementary school
Topics of interest	- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

These kids have been together some since kindergarten

Other comments

You are proposing that our children be split up. My sons go to Mitchell high school and now my daughter could be sent to Paul R. Smith. We have heard that school choice won't work because SSMS is a frozen school. When will everything be decided? How is this fair to do to these kids when just last month at the elementary school my daughter filled out her classes for middle school. And wants to go to SSMS summer camp.

From: <u>Christopher G. Williams</u>
To: <u>kathy19521@verizon.net</u>

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#128]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 2:22:49 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Ms. Williams,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be forwarded onto the superintendent and school board.

Unlike Publix, we don't always have the capital dollars to build everything that we need ahead of time. Technically, we don't take developers money and they don't give it to us. We get impact fees from people who build new houses and then we use those dollars to build new schools. Unfortunately it is not enough to always build everything that we need.

Everyone in Pasco County pays taxes for schools and we don't draw boundary lines based on how much you pay. It is extremely unfortunate that some of our employees at schools do wrong things and are not the role models they should be. It is not just confined to Anclote High, there have been these unfortunate role models at Mitchell High as well.

By the way, in looking at the address you provided, it does not appear you are in the area proposed to be rezoned. Also, if this plan is ultimately approved by the school board and your student is already attending Mitchell High, they would be grandfathered in.

Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 10:09 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#128]

Level	High School
Name	Kathleen Williams
Address	6631 Ridge Top Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 375-5083
Email	kathy19521@verizon.net
Current school	JW Mitchell High School

Topics of interest

- Future Growth and Capacity
- Planning Integrity
- Socioeconomic Balance
- Subdivision Integrity

Comments on Extracurricular Activities

Our child has been in the SSMS band 3 years and JW Mitchell band 3 years, making him a part of a large family. It would not be in his best interest to be zoned to another school.

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

How is it that Publix knows the growth of the 54 corridor better than the Pasco Planning Commission, Pasco County Commissioners and the Pasco County School board. Amazing that they can and do plan ahead of the building that is now happening, ready for a store to open before the actual need is realized.

Comments on Planning Integrity

The Planning commission, County Commissioners, and School board evidently don't plan for any growth. They try to play catch up when the deed is done.

You knew that the 54 corridor was going to be built out years ago, this is your problem and you need to build new schools ASAP, and add on to the schools already built.

You take the developers money and what? Don't do what you are paid to do!!!

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

We bought and built out home here, paying a premium for the parcel of property and for the reputable builder to build our home. To now rezone children who live in this subdivision, Oak Ridge, to a badly performing school is out of the question. We pay high taxes for our homes and expect to be zoned for schools that are high performing schools. When this subject was being debated last year I attended a meeting at River Ridge where the principal of Anclote High School got up and said what a great school it was and how welcoming they were.....next day in the newspaper there it was.....an assistant principal from Anclote High was arrested for marijuana use. Really? That's not quite the adult mentors I had in mind for my children.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: stevenbokun@aol.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#132]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 2:50:00 PM
Attachments: JWMHS 1718 Out of Zone.xlsx

image001.png

Mr. Okun,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

What is the rush to rezone everyone by Aug 18, especially without doing due diligence?

With the court invalidating the boundaries done last year, we had a situation where we wanted to redo the boundary process instead of moving everyone back to where they were the previous year.

- How many students zoned for Anclote and Gulf actually using school choice to go to another school? See our data spreadsheet on the rezoning website, which has some of these numbers there.
- Why not conduct address verifications. I know some who have used false addresses? The list was provided by others to district not sure what has happened.

I cannot find anyone who has received such a list nor can I find anyone who can produce such a list. If you have this list, please provide.

- Mitchell is over crowded, how many are on school choice to attend? Please see attached.

Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 6:04 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#132]

Level	High School
Name	steven okun
Address	2128 Mountain Ash way New Port Richey United States
Phone	(727) 858-0079
Email	stevenbokun@aol.com
Current school	Mitchell H.S.

Topics of interest

- Rezoning

Comments on proposed map

From conversations with school board employees and doing my research, it is apparent to me that incomplete information is being provided to Mr. Browning. Thus preventing him from making an informed decision. Questions I have asked and could not get a straight answer on:

- What is the rush to rezone everyone by Aug 18, especially without doing due diligence?
- How many students zoned for Anclote and Gulf actually using school choice to go to another school?
- Why not conduct address verifications. I know some who have used false addresses? The list was provided by others to district not sure what has happened.
- Mitchell is over crowded, how many are on school choice to attend?

Comments

- Yes, I understand what I am asking to be done is hard, however, when you are talking about causing a major disruption in peoples lives, you owe it to them and do what is right no matter how hard it is.
- I also understand some of this cost money. To defray cost I am confident many parents will volunteer to assist where and when needed. I am also sure district will make sure no privacy laws will be violated.
- Many issues arise from doing an address verification, such as people not answering letters, phone calls, or even knocks at doors and cost. Although I do not have all the answers for this one, except persistence. It should be tried and see what happens. Any one who does not answer any attempt at being contacted should have their child go to another public school. It is not fair to the law abiding citizens to be rezoned when people who are breaking the law are allowed to stay. To me that is criminal.
- I understand and agree with certain people getting school choice, i.e. special needs kids, employees of pasco county school district. I also believe this can cloud the judgment of the people doing the planning and making recommendations. I am pretty sure if someone on the planning team or in a decision making position was affected by the rezoning (no school choice or house depreciation for being rezoned to an underachieving school) things would be done in a more methodical and detailed way.
- Yes everyone is entitled to an education and no one is saying not to provide a child with out one. That is illegal.
- I am ok with my kids attending an over crowded school at this time because I believe the overcrowding will be mitigated in the future. Ways to correct problem of over crowded, which I believe you are already exploring and doing, are build new schools and build onto existing schools.

Thank you for your time.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: littlepear83@aol.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#131]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 7:46:27 PM

Ms. Doolittle,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and the school board.

Questions for you. Do your children ride their bikes to school now? Would they be riding their bikes to school if they went to SSMS and JWMHS?

Thank you, Chris Williams Director of Planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 1:06 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#131]

Level	Middle School
Name	Stephanie Doolittle
Address	3284 La Verne Court New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 237-5887
Email	littlepear83@aol.com
Current school	Seven Springs Elementary School
Topics of interest	- Rezoning

Comments on proposed map

As a single Mom of two children under 10, I have worked hard to save to be able to purchase a home in the SSMS and JWMHS attendance zone. Rezoning my neighborhood, Venice Estates, would create an absolute hardship for my family. With the proposed school being much further away, it's unsafe for my children to ride their bicycles to school so that they could participate in before/after school clubs/programs/sports. I would need to drive them to/from school. I work in the opposite direction, so this creates both a commuting time issue and more importantly, a financial hardship with the increased commuting.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#136]

Date: Saturday, March 24, 2018 8:04:30 PM

Level	High School
Name	Joyce Dimmer
Address	2909 Shipston Avenue New Port Richey, FI 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 947-3437
Email	joycedimmer107@hotmail.com
Current school	Mitchell High
Topics of interest	 Athletics Exceptional Student Education Extracurricular Activities Future Growth and Capacity Planning Integrity Socioeconomic Balance Subdivision Integrity Transportation My property values

Comments on Athletics

Too far to drive to other school.

Comments on Exceptional Student Education

I know nothing of this schools value in this area. I know SSM and Mitchell High are wonderful.

Comments on Extracurricular Activities

Too far to drive.

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Pay out the money and know that these homes will sell and in order to create a community people want to live to you should think carefully before making rash decisions.

Comments on Planning Integrity

What planning? No - planning that includes your voters. Your representatives walk away from dialogue.

Comments on Socioeconomic Balance

I see my housing property going down in value because of your thoughtless balances of the county on my neigjnorshoods back. I see the property appraiser was out here this last week - taking assessment before you move my entire neighborhood to a school that is not acceptable with a lower school grade than the one we currently are assigned. We pay a lot of taxes. I expect more of this board and superintendent. I'm not seeing it.

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

We bought here because of the schools! Why would you you move 500 some students further from their school to move us further from ours and bus us to theirs? Seriously? !!! You want high quality schools and do not want to pay for the quality to invest into them. We did it. Not you. We made these two schools, Seven Springs Middle and Mitchell Hogh, into quality A -B schools and now you want us to go to a school without the same grade? Hmmm.

Comments on Transportation

Too far! Not safe!

Comments on proposed map

Awful. Totally against moving this neighborhood across a major highway and further from homes than the school closer to us.

Other comments

You - my elected officials - are needing to hear your constituents. You all went along with these new construction projects and chose and decided to let them take our already established school away. Your proposal is just a bandaid. It will not solve the catastrophes awaiting all these new students arriving and

yountefise to face facts that your plan will already be outdated. Build new schools close to them and and think forward instead of making your county a place where wealth will avoid.

From: <u>Stephanie</u>

To: <u>Christopher G. Williams</u>

Subject: Re: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#131]

Date: Sunday, March 25, 2018 1:37:35 PM

Hello Chris,

My oldest is in second grade, so I would allow him to ride his bicycle starting 5th grade. My youngest is 16 months. I would allow them to ride to middle and high school.

Thank you for responding to my posting.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 24, 2018, at 7:46 PM, Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us wrote:

Ms. Doolittle,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and the school board.

Questions for you. Do your children ride their bikes to school now? Would they be riding their bikes to school if they went to SSMS and JWMHS?

Thank you, Chris Williams Director of Planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 1:06 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#131]

Level	Middle School
Name	Stephanie Doolittle
Address	3284 La Verne Court New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 237-5887
Email	littlepear83@aol.com
Current school	Seven Springs Elementary School
Topics of interest	- Rezoning
Comments on proposed map	

As a single Mom of two children under 10, I have worked hard to save to be able to purchase a home in the SSMS and JWMHS attendance zone. Rezoning my neighborhood, Venice Estates, would create an absolute hardship for my family. With the proposed school being much further away, it's unsafe for my children to ride their bicycles to school so that they could participate in before/after school clubs/programs/sports. I would need to drive them to/from school. I work in the opposite direction, so this creates both a commuting time issue and more importantly, a financial hardship with the increased commuting.

From: Christopher G. Williams

To: joycedimmer107@hotmail.com

Subject: Fwd: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#136]

Date: Sunday, March 25, 2018 2:17:36 PM

Ms. Dimmer,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

Couple of questions. What do you mean by, "Your representatives walk away from dialogue"?

What do you mean by, "Why would you move 500 some students further from their school and move us further from ours"?

Thank you, Chris Williams Director of Planning

Get Outlook for iOS

From: MachForm < no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us>

Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2018 8:04 PM

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#136]

To: <<u>rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>>

High School
Joyce Dimmer
2909 Shipston Avenue New Port Richey, FI 34655 United States
(727) 947-3437
joycedimmer107@hotmail.com
Mitchell High
 Athletics Exceptional Student Education Extracurricular Activities Future Growth and Capacity Planning Integrity Socioeconomic Balance Subdivision Integrity Transportation My property values

Comments on Athletics

Too far to drive to other school.

Comments on Exceptional Student Education

I know nothing of this schools value in this area. I know SSM and Mitchell High are wonderful.

Comments on Extracurricular Activities

Too far to drive.

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

Pay out the money and know that these homes will sell and in order to create a community people want to live to you should think carefully before making rash decisions.

Comments on Planning Integrity

What planning? No - planning that includes your voters. Your representatives walk away from dialogue.

Comments on Socioeconomic Balance

I see my housing property going down in value because of your thoughtless balances of the county on my neigjnorshoods back. I see the property appraiser was out here this last week - taking assessment before you move my entire neighborhood to a school that is not acceptable with a lower school grade than the one we currently are assigned. We pay a lot of taxes. I expect more of this board and superintendent. I'm not seeing it

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

We bought here because of the schools! Why would you you move 500 some students further from their school to move us further from ours and bus us to theirs? Seriously? !!! You want high quality schools and do not want to pay for the quality to invest into them. We did it. Not you. We made these two schools, Seven Springs Middle and Mitchell Hogh, into quality A -B schools and now you want us to go to a school without the same grade? Hmmm.

Comments on Transportation

Too far! Not safe!

Comments on proposed map

Awful. Totally against moving this neighborhood across a major highway and further from homes than the school closer to us.

Other comments

You - my elected officials - are needing to hear your constituents. You all went along with these new construction projects and chose and decided to let them take our already established school away. Your proposal is just a bandaid. It will not solve the catastrophes awaiting all these new students arriving and yountefise to face facts that your plan will already be outdated. Build new schools close to them and and think forward instead of making your county a place where wealth will avoid.

From: Kurt S. Browning
To: Christopher G. Williams
Subject: FW: Magnolia Estates

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 8:12:37 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

FYI...ksb

Kurt S. Browning
Pasco County Superintendent of Schools



From: Debbie Ritzinger < Debbie Ritzinger @hotmail.com >

Date: Friday, March 23, 2018 at 7:09 AM

To: Kurt Browning <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Ray Gadd <rgadd@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Tammy Berryhill <tberryhi@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Betsy Kuhn <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Allen Altman <alaltman@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Colleen Beaudoin <crbeaudo@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Cynthia Armstrong <carmstro@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Alison Crumbley <acrumble@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Steve Luikart <jluikart@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Subject: Magnolia Estates

Dear District Staff & School Board Members,

My husband, Brian Yelling, and I are recent residents of Magnolia Estates. We purchased our home last June when we moved here from Trinity. Even though we no longer have school aged children living with us, we purchased our home based on a lot of factors, including the school district. When we moved to Trinity from Ohio in 2015 we knew that the district there was rated very high, and we loved the area. When we decided to sell and moved in 2017 we wanted to stay in Trinity for a number of reasons, the school district being one of them.

After much looking, we found a home in a place we never thought we would move...New Port Richey...Magnolia Estates. Our realtor suggested we look here for the homes and we fell in love. But, we also knew that should our son come here the schools would remain the same

as they were there.

Now, less than a year later, this may change. This will affect our home values, our neighborhood makeup, and many of our current neighbors leaving. I cannot leave being here less than one year.

Why are you moving the area on 54 between Thys Rd and Madison to Gulf High? You are rezoning this area into Gulf High to make room for our kids to go to Anclote? You keep moving long standing neighborhoods into other schools to make room for new homes. Why are these newer homes/neighborhoods more important that us?

And, before you change the district have you done the verification of addresses of all children who are attending Mitchell and other schools that our kids would be zoned out of to make sure that you don't have room for them? Are you sure all of these children belong there?

I know you may not think that I have an argument since I have no children in the district at this time, but my home is my argument...my neighborhood is my argument...and my neighbors and their children are my argument. These kids have always gone to the same school district. This needs to remain the same, both for the children and for our homes.

Debbie Ritzinger 1717 Nodding Thistle Drive New Port Richey, FL 34655 From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Christine Stahl
Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn
Subject: RE: Rezoning Criteria

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 11:25:50 AM

Ms. Stahl,

I looked back at my responses and I don't see where I "repeatedly" used the term "criteria". I did use it in my response to Mr. Hlady but I don't see where I used it anywhere else. I want to be sure that I respond to your question in its proper context. Are you referring to the one response I made to Mr. Hlady or are you referring to something else?

Thank you, Chris Williams

----Original Message-----

From: Christine Stahl [mailto:cstahl@bellsouth.net] Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 11:07 PM

To: Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn <ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Subject: Rezoning Criteria

Mr. Williams:

After reading the public comments that were posted, you repeatedly mention criteria concerning this rezone.

Can you confirm if your criteria, that you mention, is the same as the guidelines that were distributed during the boundary committee meetings during last year's rezone?

Thank you, Christine Stahl

Sent from my iPhone

From: Christine Stahl
To: Christopher G. Williams
Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn
Subject: Re: Rezoning Criteria

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 11:35:46 AM

Thank you for reading through hundreds of responses, but that wasn't necessary. Whether mentioned in an email or emails, whether the word criteria or guidelines was used or if it was in direct conversation at the workshop, I'm looking for the meaning of the word criteria or guidelines. Is it the same guidelines that were used for the boundary committee?

On Monday, March 26, 2018 11:25 AM, Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us> wrote:

Ms. Stahl.

I looked back at my responses and I don't see where I "repeatedly" used the term "criteria". I did use it in my response to Mr. Hlady but I don't see where I used it anywhere else. I want to be sure that I respond to your question in its proper context. Are you referring to the one response I made to Mr. Hlady or are you referring to something else?

Thank you, Chris Williams

----Original Message-----

From: Christine Stahl [mailto:cstahl@bellsouth.net]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 11:07 PM

To: Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn < ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us >

Subject: Rezoning Criteria

Mr. Williams:

After reading the public comments that were posted, you repeatedly mention criteria concerning this rezone.

Can you confirm if your criteria, that you mention, is the same as the guidelines that were distributed during the boundary committee meetings during last year's rezone?

Thank you, Christine Stahl

Sent from my iPhone

From: Christopher G. Williams

To: <u>Tammy</u>

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#133]

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 11:42:59 AM

Ms. Ciske,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

We continue to evaluate our enrollment procedures. Our current required requirements can be found here:

http://www.pasco.k12.fl.us/comm/registration_requirements

We continue to remind folks of the following statements that can be found on many of our forms: Your signature below indicates that all information provided on this document is true and accurate. The School District expects residence information submitted regarding students to be truthful and accurate, and District forms pertaining to residence and household membership shall be verified under penalties of perjury. Florida Statutes §837.06 provides that whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree. Additionally, a person who knowingly makes a false declaration under penalties of perjury commits a felony of the third degree, pursuant to Florida Statute 92.525. Providing school officials false information regarding your residence when enrolling your child may result in your child being withdrawn and/or reassigned to the appropriate zoned school, and referral of the matter to law enforcement for possible criminal prosecution. Additionally, falsification of this information may result in the permanent revocation of your child's privilege to engage in extracurricular activities, including organized sports. Parents/legal guardians are responsible for notifying the school principal if there is a change in residence or parental responsibility of the student within five (5) days, even if the parent thinks the student is still in the school's zone. Failure to give timely notice may result in a reassignment to the student's zoned school and/or loss of eligibility for athletics and other activities.

Thank you, Chris Williams

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 11:02 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#133]

Level	Middle School
Name	Tammy Ciske
Email	tammystormrn@hotmail.com
Topics of interest	- Planning Integrity

Comments on Planning Integrity

I have read through the Questions and Answers as well as all the community comments. Address verification seems to be

of great concern to constituents, but is not included in the "Questions and Answers". It appears as though there is tremendous resistance from the district in this matter, but is difficult to understand why. At the workshop I overheard Mrs. Crumbley say that she is looking into what is being done in terms of address verification. Where can I find the rationale for not verifying addresses of every student every year? And what was told to Mrs. Crumbley as to why this wasn't done (meaning absolutely no change in the process) for the 2017/18 school year? Currently, a student can verify an address upon enrollment in kindergarten and use that address all the way to 12th grade.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Christine Stahl
Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn
Subject: RE: Rezoning Criteria

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 11:48:27 AM

Attachments: #3 SBSC GUIDELINES.DOC

Ms. Stahl,

Please see attached.

Thank you, Chris Williams

From: Christine Stahl [mailto:cstahl@bellsouth.net]

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 11:36 AM

To: Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn < ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Subject: Re: Rezoning Criteria

Thank you for reading through hundreds of responses, but that wasn't necessary. Whether mentioned in an email or emails, whether the word criteria or guidelines was used or if it was in direct conversation at the workshop, I'm looking for the meaning of the word criteria or guidelines. Is it the same guidelines that were used for the boundary committee?

On Monday, March 26, 2018 11:25 AM, Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us> wrote:

Ms. Stahl.

I looked back at my responses and I don't see where I "repeatedly" used the term "criteria". I did use it in my response to Mr. Hlady but I don't see where I used it anywhere else. I want to be sure that I respond to your question in its proper context. Are you referring to the one response I made to Mr. Hlady or are you referring to something else?

Thank you, Chris Williams

----Original Message-----

From: Christine Stahl [mailto:cstahl@bellsouth.net] Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 11:07 PM

To: Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>

Cc: Elizabeth P. Kuhn < ekuhn@pasco.k12.fl.us >

Subject: Rezoning Criteria

Mr. Williams:

After reading the public comments that were posted, you repeatedly mention criteria concerning this rezone.

Can you confirm if your criteria, that you mention, is the same as the guidelines that were distributed during the boundary committee meetings during last year's rezone?

Thank you, Christine Stahl

Sent from my iPhone

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#137]

Date: Monday, March 26, 2018 6:07:41 PM

Level	High School
Name	Heide Janshon
Address	3205 Ohara Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 481-5315
Email	heidejanshon@gmail.com
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Student Data to determine rezoning

Comments on proposed map

- 1. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the recent Ridgewood rezoning and the reassignment of those students to Gulf High School?
- 2. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the number of SSMS/JWMHS students who have been accepted into and will be attending Wendell Krinn as well as the Paul R. Smith/Anclote High Cambridge Programmes?
- 3. Please explain how Anclote High has 1515 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1156 of those students enrolled at AHS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 359 students are returned to AHS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Blvd?
- 4. Please explain how Paul R. Smith Middle has 1120 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1010 of those students are enrolled at PRSMS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 110 students are returned to PRSMS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Rlvd?
- 5. In comparing the Ridgewood Rezoning Map 1 (approved) student data dated 11/7/17 and the current Westside Rezoning Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 regarding Gulf High School, the data does not line up: According to Map 1 2017-18 student data (Grades 8-11) there are 1361 students assigned to GHS but only 1108 enrolled. (Is this supposed to reflect current 9-12 graders?) Where are the 253 students attending making up the difference? According to Map 5x 2017-18 (Grades 9-12), there are 1228 students assigned to GHS but only 967 enrolled. Where are the 261 students attending making up the difference?
- 6. Exactly how many students are proposed to be shuffled and from where? How can the district produce accurate numbers justifying moving students to Anclote and Paul R. Smith when accurate student data can't seem to be determined.

Thanks so much in advance.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#138]

Date: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 4:51:09 PM

Level	High School
Name	Maria DiVincent
Address	10752 Doc Brittle street New Port Richey, FI 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 808-8804
Email	Mariadivincent@gmail.com
Current school	Mitchell high school
Topics of interest	- Extracurricular Activities

Comments on Extracurricular Activities

Currently stable in extra curricular activities and in school. 10th and 11th grade currently.

Comments on proposed map

Basically no changes from the map last year and still doesn't solve any problems or concerns that the district have. You have moved an established neighborhood Longleaf, that is 2 miles away to a school that is 8 miles away. Breaking up feeder patterns. You have other neighborhoods that when Anclotte and Paul R Smith were built they were zoned for but continue to allow them to stay at MHS and SSMS.

Other comments

Should allow students that are at their current school stay for the highest grade. Allow any students on school choice stay without having to reapply. This allows least disruption for all students. Have enrollment verification for all students across the county; (proof of residency) every school.

From: Christopher G. Williams

To: Jim Stanley; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us
Cc: Kurt S. Browning; Linda Cobbe

Subject: RE: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance Boundary Modifications

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 10:55:29 AM
Attachments: Longleaf Ellington By Grade Analysis of Choice.xlsx

Mr. Stanley,

As I stated to you at the workshop, we did not break every area down by neighborhood and grade level. I did find a spreadsheet of an analysis I did that does break down the Longleaf/Ellington by grade and by school attended. That document is attached.

Chris Williams

From: Jim Stanley [mailto:jjs1791@gmail.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:27 PM

To: Christopher G. Williams <cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us>; rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us **Cc:** Kurt S. Browning <ksbsos@pasco.k12.fl.us>; Linda Cobbe <lcobbe@pasco.k12.fl.us> **Subject:** Fwd: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance

Boundary Modifications

Dear Mr. Williams,

I renew my request that was made in an email to you on February 28 for the information in question 3 (below). When I asked you to explain discrepancies in numbers and projections from the information you referred me to (i.e. the packets provided to the Boundary Committee in 2016) and the current ADM numbers and current projections, your response was essentially to explain that "things change."

Since your proposal assumes certain numbers of students living in the areas you have proposed be reassigned, I must respectfully insist that you provide the data you are using in your assumptions for the specific numbers of students for each grade level living in the neighborhoods you propose to move, broken down by the neighborhoods you propose to move. This should be information readily available to you so I expect you will provide this information today.

Thank you,

Jim Stanley

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jim Stanley** <<u>jjs1791@gmail.com</u>> Date: Wed, Feb 28, 2018 at 11:50 AM

Subject: Request for information regarding proposed West Pasco Schools Attendance Boundary

Modifications

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Dear Mr. Williams

With respect to the proposed attendance boundary changes for West Pasco Schools, I have the following questions:

- 1. The Permanent Capacity for Anclote High School is represented to be 1,651 at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,684. What specifically changed at Anclote to reduce the capacity of that school by 33 students?
- 2. The Permanent Capacity for Mitchell High School is represented to be 1,925 at present, yet the packet provided to the Boundary Committee at their first meeting in 2016 represented the capacity as being 1,853. What specifically changed at Mitchell to increase the capacity of that school by 72 students?
- 3. Neither the maps nor the tables provide any (i) numbers of students in each neighborhood that are proposed to be moved (ii) breakdown by grade of the numbers of students by neighborhood proposed to be moved (iii) the numbers of students enrolled in magnet programs for the affected schools (iv) the numbers of students who choiced in or out of each attendance zone (v) projected growth numbers for each of the foregoing. Please provide this information.
- 4. What is the source of (i) the numbers of existing students within an attendance boundary; (ii) the projected growth of students within an attendance boundary?

Thank you for your prompt attention to this inquiry.

Sincerely,

Jim Stanley 3632 Durrance Street Trinity, FL 34655

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#139]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 11:34:42 AM

Level	Middle School
Name	John San Filippo
Address	New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 946-7588
Email	jvsafi@hotmail.com
Current school	Odessa Christian School

Comments on proposed map

I am a resident of Longleaf for the past 11 years. I came to this neighborhood because I am a single dad with two daughters and I wanted my kids to grow up in the same neighborhood with the same kids going to the same school something I never had. Your greed has taken my kids childhood slowly away. This needs to stop immediately, the children that are going to River Ridge let them stay for the children that want to go to Springs Springs let them go. I am sure it is a very small percentage of children that are involved in this. I bet if we dig deep enough somebody's pockets were lined to have these new neighborhoods zoned in a better school.

Other comments

Let's not forget the value of our homes will depreciate depending upon what school we are zoned for. This needs to stop immediately!!

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#140]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 11:48:06 AM

Level Middle School

Name Jamie Jones

Email jjonzn@gmail.com

Comments on proposed map

First and foremost a thank you to all as I know all the rezoning brings out the good and bad in people. I cannot begin to imagine the challenges this brings to your normal day to day. As a whole, I believe the community has their best thoughts at heart and appreciate knowing you all are actually reading our concerns.

One additional item that I believe needs to be looked into and reconsidered is Area 30. I see on the current proposal 5X that you have that area slated to move to GHS. However the feeder pattern seems to be skewed. To truly fix the feeder pattern, this area should be moved at the middle school level and zoned for Paul R Smith Middle. Most are currently attending Anclote Elementary so why would you want to move them from this pattern? This, in turn, allows the children already at AHS to stay there and not be moved.

Map 5x splits more schools then fixes feeder patterns.

- Anclote Elementary is split between PRSMS and GMS
- Seven Springs Elementary is split between SSMS and PRSMS

Just seems that more kids are being displaced than needs to be. Thank you for your time.

no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm From:

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#141]

Wednesday, March 28, 2018 1:58:17 PM Date:

Level	High School
Name	Cathy Unger
Address	3538 Town Ave New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Email	ungercathy3@gmail.com
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Planning Integrity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity
I am very concerned that the proposed maps are not helping the problem of over capacity. Once again you are choosing to move a small number of student from area 12 to RR. This does not help Mitchell and only puts more burden on RR. You are also moving student out of Anclote, which has a low capacity, and moving them to Gulf. While this helps balance Gulf these maps will keep Anclote at low capacity and Mitchell and RR over capacity. Where is the logic with these proposed maps?

Comments on Planning Integrity

Because you are leaving developing communities at Mitchell, there will have to be another rezone within the next couple of years. I fear that these maps do not have a far enough future in sight. These students will only have to be rezoned again sooner rather than later.

Comments on proposed map

The proposed maps do make any sense as to helping the overcrowding at SSMS and JWMHS. There is no sound reason to move area 12. It does not and will not help balance the population of the schools.

Please reconsider the maps. This has been an emotional roller coaster. I know resigning is never easy but I feel like there has been ZERO regard for our kids emotional well being.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us To:

Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#142] Subject:

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 2:31:46 PM

Level	High School
Name	Jennifer Crawshaw
Address	3421 Ellington Way New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 967-2089
Email	Jennycrawshaw@gmail.com
Current school	Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	AthleticsExceptional Student Education

Comments on proposed map
Please keep Longleaf and Ellington zoned for Mitchell. The school is very convenient to these neighborhoods.
Some students bike there, as it is only 3 or 4 miles away. They would not be able to bike to River Ridge.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 2:39:01 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Heather Goldstein
Address	3437 Town Ave Trinity, FI 34655 United States
Email	Haxel1612@gmail.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a district you need to become wise to building... if new development goes in you will need to plan better. New development along 54 should be going to fill the open seats in some of the schools like Anclote and Paul R Smith. Obviously by the way you are moving our neighborhood area 12 to a school further away distance and busing is not an issue...

Therefore all new development needs to be the ones to move to the under filled schools.

That way you might be able to strike a deal with builders... if they want their new residents goung to more "desirable" schools. That way the builders need to help construct these new schools. With the funds dwindling from the State to help build schools you need to get creative and find other outlets to help build the schools.

Comments on proposed map

I believe that this map that is proposed is the same as the one the United States Courts ruled unconstitutional due to being drawn up by the group that broke the law by committing the violation of the sunshine laws!! Not sure how you can now apply it again. This makes no sense moving a neighborhood as in Area 12 out of schools that they have been zoned to since the neighborhood was founded over 14 years ago!!!! Also our neighborhood is 2 miles away from our current schools SSMS, JWMHS that I might add a Judge ruled we should still be going to!!!

Another very important fact is this map does not aid in filling the open seats at Anclote or Paul R Smith. But it does move our Area 12 from one over crowded school to another.

Also with the closing of Ridgewood where will the ESE population be moved to??? River Ridge I bet which will even over crowd the school even more!!! You really need to look at all planning before making a redone like this

Also consider this in 2 years when Starkey k-8 opens up Longleaf will be moved again. This is why we need to leave established neighbors where they are until a new school is built. Moving from one over crowded school to another makes no sense to anyone i have talked with at my current school site or friends that are politicians and bissiness executives so I have no idea why it makes sense to you Kurt Browning or the Board!

Other comments

I am sick over this whole process along with my whole neighborhood of Longleaf and Ellenton Way Area 12. Please reconsider this new proposed map. Move the new growth to Anclote and Paul R Smith. Consider what a court of law has also said, that this current map is unconstitutional bc it was conceived from the group that violated the sunshine laws!!!

To: <u>rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us</u>

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#144]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 4:37:01 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Kim Washington
Address	3600 Ferrell Street New Port Richey, Florida 34655 United States
Phone	(813) 541-8509
Email	kwashington31@me.com
Current school	Seven Springs Middle School & JW Mitchell High School
Topics of interest	- I will make a generalized assessment of the overall rezone process.

Comments on proposed map

Longleaf is just as an established neighborhood as many others are. Allowing other newer and brand new communities to attend schools that those communities and our children are being uprooted from is absurd, especially considering our close proximity to SSMS and JW Mitchell HS. We moved to Longleaf 11 years ago. Our children and family are rooted and invested in our community here in the Trinity area. This is where we do the majority of our 'life'. There is not a question of which school is better - the current zoned schools or River Ridge schools. Again, it's location and where we do our daily activities. We are giving our children and teens the wrong impression when Kurt Browning goes back and forth with decisions. And that it is okay to cheat the system by giving false address information. We here in Longleaf did address verification as a community because we believe it is extremely important for the integrity of the student data to be accurate. We were told by a school board member on tape that it would be done. When we approached her at the parent workshop this month, she was surprised it wasn't done and stated she was told it was being done. I have not heard anything about how this affects our kids mental stability, friendships, school comradery, or onnections to their community except that they are just a number and are being moved around like chess pieces. Nothing has changed since last year's rezone to meet the goal of reducing school overcrowding. My child/teen is not complaining about overcrowding. My child/teen is thriving at their current schools (SSMS and JW Mitchell). No matter if you feel that kids can adapt to change or constant unknowns of being rezoned or not over a 2 year period, try being the parent of a teen diagnosed with Generalized Anxiety Disorder who deals with it each and every day but is happy in her school. However, for the last 2 years she has been burdened with wondering and worrying about whether she will get moved; moved from a school she finally got used to, keeping friendships with those from Middle School to High School that are her school 'community'. It's obvious that we need more schools but those are not coming anytime soon. It is obvious that changes need to be made. It is even more obvious that our Longleaf community is getting chastised for some unknown reasons. After all was said and done last year, the majority if not all of the LL choice requests were approved and we even got transportation. So what was the point initially? Shame on the county commissioners and planning committees for allowing new developments to come into the area without the smart sense of building schools first to accommodate the growth. I am disheartened that we as parents, as community shakers int he Trinity area who have trusted Kurt Browning and the School Board with making decisions for our kids has disgusted me after putting 2 kids through school to the current grades of 8th and 9th grade. Lastly, will we be in the same predicament next year? What is the rush? The community has great ideas just work with us to make the best plan and one that supports the goal and has our children's best interest at heart.

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us on behalf of MachForm

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#145]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:04:39 PM

Level	High School
Name	Bill Smith
Address	10330 Palladio Dr Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 487-3339
Email	wsmith42@gmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Comments on proposed map

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Other comments

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#146]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:50:20 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Melanie Scharber
Address	10700 Doc Brittle St Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 642-3348
Email	melscharber@gmail.com
Current school	River Ridge Middle School
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Transportation

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a long time resident of Pasco County, I am deeply concerned about the school system here. It appears that our county is being deluged with new people, as evidenced by all the growth on the 54 corridor. However, there doesn't seem to be any long-term goals to deal with the influx of new students. I feel that a new high school definitely should be in the process of being built at this time. As well as adding on wings to already existing school structures.

Comments on Transportation

I am concerned that the bus system in Pasco county is stretched well beyond their means. According to Maureen in the transporation department, this year our son's bus route was determined to be an "open route." Meaning that our route did not have an assigned driver and they have to fill that spot daily. We have suffered with early bus arrivals (with students missing the bus), extremely late bus arrivals (with no notification to the parents), bus drivers that were speeding (according to my 6th grade son), and different bus drivers constantly this year. I hope this issue will be dealt with and corrected for next year.

Also, with all of the rezoning I hope that we will still receive a bus to RRMS. It appears that we have been rezoned to RRMS, so I assume that we will. It would be great if the students who choice into SSMS and JWMHS receive a bus as well.

Comments on proposed map

I myself am thrilled that our address is remaining at River Ridge next year. My son was rezoned to River Ridge at the beginning of his middle school career. It was an easy transition for him to go from Longleaf Elementary directly into River Ridge. Having said that, however, I am sympathetic to my fellow Longleaf Neighborhood neighbors who had their children literally ripped from their home school mid-middle school or mid-high school career. It is the children that are being affected. I got a taste of their plight when the rezone was reversed a couple months back, therefore rezoning my son to Seven Springs Middle School mid-year. I was happy to get the notice that he was able to choose to stay at RRMS for the remainder of his 6th grade year. I was also pleased when he was offered to stay at RRMS through 8th grade. I am still concerned about his high school career, but one year at a time. I hope that you will take into consideration the families that would like to stay at SSMS or JWMHS for their child's middle school or high school completion (as well as offer them transporation).

Other comments

My son Wesley is a 6th grader at RRMS. He is happy to stay at RRMS and to continue on to RRHS in the future. Great school!

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#147]

Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 9:31:59 PM

Level	Middle School
Name	Bryce Phillippi
Address	1737 Overview Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Email	Redfisher22@yahoo.com
Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	AthleticsExtracurricular ActivitiesSubdivision IntegrityTransportation

Comments on proposed map

I am concerned about the school rezoning and the potential social impact on our kids relationships with their friends. We live in Oakridge and it has been proposed for our neighborhood to be devided so some of our kids will go to Mitchell and the others will go to Anclote. Our families spend time, celebrate birthdays and special occasions togeather. Our kids have grown up together and now we might have to tell them they are going to different schools just because our entrance is around the corner on a different road? How can you split up a neighborhood? Our kids study togeather and our kids that play sports together might be playing against each other? You would be dividing a neighborhood. Let alone the fact our kids will have to travel further to go to school, that just doesn't make sense.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41:00 AM

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a unique perspective into this process. Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria and are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive.

We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem. Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Christopher G. Williams
Subject: FW: rezone concerns

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 10:54:03 AM

From: Tammy < tammystormrn@hotmail.com > Date: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 at 6:40 PM

To: Allen Altman <a laltman@pasco.k12.fl.us>, Colleen Rene Beaudoin <a large leaved on the college leaved on

Subject: rezone concerns

Dear Pasco County School Board Members

I can bet over the course of the 18 months of this rezoning process, you have gotten hundreds of emails from concerned Longleaf residents. Most of them stating concerns similar, or even exactly the same, as those recently submitted comments by the west side parents. The most wide spread concern is that of kicking children of established neighborhoods out of the school they love to make room for new growth. Just nine short days ago the district held a workshop for the community. The community was invited to submit public comments. After nine days of reading these public comments, the Superintendent changes his proposal to make Longleaf the only long established neighborhood to ONCE AGAIN be rezoned.

I am a resident of Longleaf. To my knowledge, the window for public comment has not closed. All the other established neighborhoods were "saved" this go round, due to their public comments and input. Remember...this is over the course of nine days. We have been voicing our concerns for almost 2 years! Here is an excerpt from the TBT published yesterday, along with the Superintendent's new recommendation:

"The change was in response to parent comments on [submitted online] forms," district spokeswoman Linda Cobbe said.

"We bought in Oak Ridge 10 years ago, in an established neighborhood that went to SSMS and JW Mitchell High — we moved out of Holiday ON PURPOSE to have our children go to better schools," parent Kelly Rushing wrote, echoing the sentiment of many in her area. "It is completely unfair to now be kicked out of these schools to accommodate new growth in neighborhoods that are still not even finished."

This is echoed by Longleaf residents. Over and over again. This has been our issue for 18+ months, over hundreds of emails, and yet here we are, once again, conveniently carved out of the Superintendent's current proposed map. The established neighborhoods of areas 1-4 got to stay at RR after the Superintendent's recommendation went back and forth. Now, after another back and forth recommendation by the Superintendent, the west side gets to stay at SSMS/Mitchell. But Longleaf (area 12), also a long established neighborhood (with all the same issues) was rezoned once already, then rezoned back, and now facing another shift back

to RR again. Personally, in four years time, my child has been from Longleaf elementary, to SSMS, to RRMS, back to SSMS, and now faces going back to RR for high school. This has caused our family and our neighborhood so much distress, you have no idea. What will happen when Starkey Ranch K-8 opens in two years? I can literally see Starkey Ranch from my entrance to Longleaf. Will we be rezoned there when it opens?

I ask you all to put yourself in the position of someone like me. With a child that has been bounced back and forth. In other rezones, the areas that have been displaced have been "off limits" for rezone the following year. But here we are in Longleaf. The district's target once again. Please understand that our children live in an established neighborhood the same as the west side and areas 1-4. Longleaf has been going to SSMS/Mitchell since it's conception. A bus already comes through our neighborhood for all the self-contained gifted students going to SSMS regardless of how many people would get rezoned to RR. Self contained gifted students will go to SSMS regardless. Another bus comes through our neighborhood to get the riders from Fairway Springs which is literally part of our community. Moving our small amount of kids from one crowded school to another makes zero sense. This move does not achieve the ultimate goal of filling any under capacity school. Anclote was built in the wrong area. Do not punish the children of Longleaf for the planning department's mistake.

Please do not pass the Superintendent's proposed plan. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Tammy Ciske

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: cymbidium80@me.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 11:01:07 AM

Attachments: image001.png

Ms. Faiella,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question, "Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them?". Bexley is not being bussed to SSMS/JWMHS. Bexley is zoned for Bexley Elementary, Rushe Middle and Sunlake High. Thank you,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a unique perspective into this process. Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria and are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive.

We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created

overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem. Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#149]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:02:04 PM

Level	High School
Name	Tammy Ciske
Email	TammystormRN@hotmail.com
Current school	SSMS

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

In a hotel analogy, a business would not kick out current hotel guests to make room for newly arriving guests. This would make for extremely disgruntled patrons. It wouldn't fly and the business would fail. Your constituents are telling you that kicking out established students for new students is unacceptable. This is a failing process. The new growth that puts the school over capacity should be the one to move. No vacancy.

Comments on Planning Integrity

What will happen when Starkey Ranch K-8 opens in two years? I can literally see Starkey Ranch from my entrance to Longleaf. Will we be rezoned there when it opens? If you are going to successfully plan for the future and use data with projections, do ONE major rezone for the entire county. Stop doing multiple rezones every one to two years. Plan ahead. Now that the Asturia business park has broken ground, and impact fees have been raised, does that mean a new high school sooner than originally anticipated? This is something to consider.

Comments on Subdivision Integrity

Keep communities and subdivisions intact. The neighborhoods of Longleaf, Ellington, and Fairway Springs are all formed (physically) around Longleaf Elementary and are all interconnected. Yet the Superintendent's plan separates them.

Comments on Transportation

A bus already comes through our neighborhood for all the self-contained gifted students going to SSMS regardless of how many people would get rezoned to RR. Self contained gifted students will go to SSMS regardless. Another bus comes through our neighborhood to get the riders from Fairway Springs which is literally part of our community.

Comments on proposed map

Dear Pasco County School Board Members:

I can bet over the course of the 18 months of this rezoning process, you have gotten hundreds of emails from concerned Longleaf residents. Most of them stating concerns similar, or even exactly the same, as those recently submitted comments by the west side parents. The most wide spread concern is that of kicking children of established neighborhoods out of the school they love to make room for new growth. Just ten short days ago the district held a workshop for the community. The community was invited to submit public comments. After nine days of reading these public comments, the Superintendent changes his proposal to make Longleaf the only long established neighborhood to ONCE AGAIN be rezoned.

I am a resident of Longleaf. To my knowledge, the window for public comment has not closed. All the other established neighborhoods were "saved" this go round, due to their public comments and input. Remember...this is over the course of nine days. We have been voicing our concerns for almost 2 years! Here is an excerpt from the TBT published yesterday, along with the Superintendent's new recommendation:

"The change was in response to parent comments on [submitted online] forms," district spokeswoman Linda Cobbe said.

"We bought in Oak Ridge 10 years ago, in an established neighborhood that went to SSMS and JW Mitchell High — we moved out of Holiday ON PURPOSE to have our children go to better schools," parent Kelly Rushing wrote, echoing the sentiment of many in her area. "It is completely unfair to now be kicked out of these schools to accommodate new growth in neighborhoods that are still not even finished."

This is echoed by Longleaf residents. Over and over again. This has been our issue for 18+ months, over hundreds of emails, and yet here we are, once again, conveniently carved out of the Superintendent's current

proposed map. The established neighborhoods of areas 1-4 got to stay at RR after the Superintendent's recommendation went back and forth. Now, after another back and forth recommendation by the Superintendent, the west side gets to stay at SSMS/Mitchell. But Longleaf (area 12), also a long established neighborhood (with all the same issues) was rezoned once already, then rezoned back, and now facing another shift back to RR again. Personally, in four years time, my child has been from Longleaf elementary, to SSMS, to RRMS, back to SSMS, and now faces going back to RR for high school. This has caused our family and our neighborhood so much distress.

I ask you all to put yourself in the position of someone like me. With a child that has been bounced back and forth. In other rezones, the areas that have been displaced have been "off limits" for rezone the following year. But here we are in Longleaf. The district's target once again. Please understand that our children live in an established neighborhood the same as the west side and areas 1-4. Longleaf has been going to SSMS/Mitchell since it's conception. Moving our small amount of kids from one crowded school to another makes zero sense. This move does not achieve the ultimate goal of filling any under capacity school. Anclote was built in the wrong area. Do not punish the children of Longleaf for this mistake.

Other comments

Please do not pass the Superintendent's proposed plan. Thank you for your time and consideration.

From: Christopher G. Williams

To: Heide Janshon

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#137]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 12:42:40 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Ms. Janshon,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto our superintendent and school board. In response to your questions:

- 1. The Map 5x student data takes into account the recent Ridgewood rezoning and the reassignment of many students from Ridgewood to Gulf High. Please keep in mind that the projections continue to change as we get updated information on the number of students applying and being accepted into the new Wendell Krinn Technical High School.
- 2. See response to number 1 above.
- 3. It's not just Anclote High but all of our high schools have this case. GHS, 1228 assigned, 967 enrolled, JWMHS, 2232 assigned, 2031 enrolled. Students attend other schools for a variety of reasons, Cambridge at AHS, IB at GHS, various career academies, ESE, charter schools, eSchools, alternative schools, etc. Are you suggesting that AHS students should not be allowed to choice into IB at Gulf, Wendell Krinn, etc.?
- 4. See response to number 3 above.
- 5. In Map 1 Student Data, the current Grades 9-12 data is reflected in the top set of rows. See my response to number 3 above.
- 6. The Map 5x student data shows how many students are expected to be shuffled. What particularly do you believe is inaccurate about the data?

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 6:08 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#137]

Level	High School
Name	Heide Janshon
Address	3205 Ohara Dr New Port Richey, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 481-5315
Email	heidejanshon@gmail.com

Current school	Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Student Data to determine rezoning

Comments on proposed map

- 1. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the recent Ridgewood rezoning and the reassignment of those students to Gulf High School?
- 2. How does the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 take into consideration the number of SSMS/JWMHS students who have been accepted into and will be attending Wendell Krinn as well as the Paul R. Smith/Anclote High Cambridge Programmes?
- 3. Please explain how Anclote High has 1515 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1156 of those students enrolled at AHS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 359 students are returned to AHS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Blvd?
- 4. Please explain how Paul R. Smith Middle has 1120 Students within Assigned Boundary but only 1010 of those students are enrolled at PRSMS, their assigned school, according to the Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18. If those 110 students are returned to PRSMS, why would it necessitate rezoning students from Magnolia Estates, Riverside Estates, Oakridge and others living between the Anclote River and Seven Springs Blvd?
- 5. In comparing the Ridgewood Rezoning Map 1 (approved) student data dated 11/7/17 and the current Westside Rezoning Map 5x student data dated 1/18/18 regarding Gulf High School, the data does not line up: According to Map 1 2017-18 student data (Grades 8-11) there are 1361 students assigned to GHS but only 1108 enrolled. (Is this supposed to reflect current 9-12 graders?) Where are the 253 students attending making up the difference? According to Map 5x 2017-18 (Grades 9-12), there are 1228 students assigned to GHS but only 967 enrolled. Where are the 261 students attending making up the difference?
- 6. Exactly how many students are proposed to be shuffled and from where? How can the district produce accurate numbers justifying moving students to Anclote and Paul R. Smith when accurate student data can't seem to be determined.

Thanks so much in advance.

From: Alison Faiella

To: Christopher G. Williams

Subject: Re: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 1:41:04 PM

Thank you for the clarification Mr. Williams. I appreciate your response. Alison Faiella

On Mar 29, 2018, at 11:01 AM, Christopher G. Williams < cwilliam@pasco.k12.fl.us > wrote:

Ms. Faiella,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question, "Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them?". Bexley is not being bussed to SSMS/JWMHS. Bexley is zoned for Bexley Elementary, Rushe Middle and Sunlake High.

Thank you,





<!--[if !vml]--><!--[endif]-->**Chris Williams**Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County

11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 8:41 AM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#148]

Level	Elementary School
Name	Alison Faiella
Address	3604 Town Ave Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 389-5920
Email	cymbidium80@me.com
Current school	Longleaf Elementary
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I live in Area 12. Living in Area 12 and not having a child who was effected by last years rezoning, nor having a child who will be effected by next years rezoning, I feel gives me a

unique perspective into this process.

Our county is growing rapidly. We all understand this. I think the trouble lies in that the entire county is a mess, and instead of taking a look at the bigger picture we keep trying to place bandages on a wound that clearly needs staples. Why are children from Bexley being bused all the way down to SSMS/JWMHS when Sunlake is right around the corner from them? Why do we keep pushing the problem further west by moving little pockets of children and hoping that will work?

I know why Bexley and Austria and are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away, just as I know why Longleaf and Ellington are being bused an incredible distance to attend schools that are miles away. But it all seems counter intuitive. We are not yet at a breaking point. Yes, JWMHS and SSMS are over capacity, but they have had much higher capacity rates in the past and survived. So why is there this rush to try to fix this, when we know from last years rezoning that this proposal did very little to relieve any overcrowding at JWMHS and created overcrowding at RR? We need to take this opportunity, and take the time, to actually fix the problem.

Honestly, the district needs to take a step back. We need to look at the entire county. We need to have a third party come in and look at the entire county and come up with a plan. We need to take our time implementing that plan, especially since there are supposedly reliever schools being built. We need to verify addresses. If Broward County can do it, why can't we? The school district is at a critical point. They honestly can fix this, and even get a step ahead of it, but we have to look at the whole picture instead of trying to piecemeal a plan together.

Comments on proposed map

This map does nothing to relieve overcrowding at JWMHS/SSMS as was proven this school year. It moved 40 something kids. From a school hundreds over capacity. It does very little to solve any of the issues that the county is facing, nor does it provide any relief to JWMHS/SSMS.

Other comments

I am very familiar with how difficult this is from all sides. This is not easy on the families. This is not easy on the School Board. This is not easy on the schools, and lastly this is not easy on our children. I don't envy the School Board, nor our Superintendent, because no matter what happens, there are going to be a group of unhappy people. But I also feel that everyone needs to take a step back and look at the bigger picture. Once again, moving one little pocket of kids does nothing to provide relief.

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: Haxel1612@gmail.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:19:45 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Ms. Goldstein,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto the superintendent and school board.

You asked the question about ESE moving from Ridgewood to River Ridge. That is not the case. Our SSPS department continually evaluates where to put our ESE programs and where they might best serve our students. For next year we actually have ESE students being moved from River Ridge to Gulf Middle and Gulf High.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 2:39 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#143]

Level	Middle School
Name	Heather Goldstein
Address	3437 Town Ave Trinity, FI 34655 United States
Email	Haxel1612@gmail.com
Current school	SSMS
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity - Rezoning

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

As a district you need to become wise to building... if new development goes in you will need to plan better. New development along 54 should be going to fill the open seats in some of the schools like Anclote and Paul R Smith. Obviously by the way you are moving our neighborhood area 12 to a school further away distance and busing is not an issue...

Therefore all new development needs to be the ones to move to the under filled schools.

That way you might be able to strike a deal with builders... if they want their new residents goung to more "desirable" schools. That way the builders need to help construct these new schools. With the funds dwindling from the State to help build schools you need to get creative and find other outlets to help build the schools.

Comments on proposed map

I believe that this map that is proposed is the same as the one the United States Courts ruled unconstitutional due to being drawn up by the group that broke the law by committing the violation of the sunshine laws!! Not sure how you can now apply it again. This makes no sense moving a neighborhood as in Area 12 out of

schools that they have been zoned to since the neighborhood was founded over 14 years ago!!!! Also our neighborhood is 2 miles away from our current schools SSMS, JWMHS that I might add a Judge ruled we should still be going to!!!

Another very important fact is this map does not aid in filling the open seats at Anclote or Paul R Smith. But it does move our Area 12 from one over crowded school to another.

Also with the closing of Ridgewood where will the ESE population be moved to??? River Ridge I bet which will even over crowd the school even more!!! You really need to look at all planning before making a redone like this.

Also consider this in 2 years when Starkey k-8 opens up Longleaf will be moved again. This is why we need to leave established neighbors where they are until a new school is built. Moving from one over crowded school to another makes no sense to anyone i have talked with at my current school site or friends that are politicians and bissiness executives so I have no idea why it makes sense to you Kurt Browning or the Board!

Other comments

I am sick over this whole process along with my whole neighborhood of Longleaf and Ellenton Way Area 12. Please reconsider this new proposed map. Move the new growth to Anclote and Paul R Smith. Consider what a court of law has also said, that this current map is unconstitutional bc it was conceived from the group that violated the sunshine laws!!!

From: Christopher G. Williams
To: wsmith42@gmail.com

Subject: FW: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#145]

Date: Thursday, March 29, 2018 2:25:23 PM

Attachments: image001.png

Mr. Smith,

Thank you for your feedback. It will be passed onto our superintendent and school board.

I wanted to respond to your comment about Asturia going to Sunlake. Sunlake is currently at capacity and is receiving all of the new growth that is being constructed along the SR 54 corridor between the Suncoast Parkway and US 41 and so Sunlake is unfortunately not an option for us to rezone students into at this time.

Thank you again for your feedback,

Chris Williams

Director for Planning Services | District School Board of Pasco County 11815 Tree Breeze Drive | New Port Richey, FL 34654 813-794-7978 | www.pasco.k12.fl.us/planning

From: no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us [mailto:no-reply@ryu.pasco.k12.fl.us]

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 7:05 PM

To: rezoning@pasco.k12.fl.us

Subject: Public Comments for Proposed Boundary Changes 2018 [#145]

Level	High School
Name	Bill Smith
Address	10330 Palladio Dr Trinity, FL 34655 United States
Phone	(727) 487-3339
Email	wsmith42@gmail.com
Current school	JW Mitchell
Topics of interest	- Future Growth and Capacity

Comments on Future Growth and Capacity

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Comments on proposed map

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new

home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?

Other comments

I have been let down time and time again by Pasco County government. How the county and school board allowed all of the new housing in the Trinity area without planning ahead on school capacity is ridiculous. Poor planning and poor leadership. Asturia should go to Sunlake, Starkey Ranch to Starkey, and leave Longleaf at Seven Springs and Mitchell. Kids are the victims here and are being shuffled around like pawns. Why are new home buyers in neighborhoods much farther away, than Longleaf, being given seats at Seven Springs and Mitchell?